Volume: 3 Pages: 1-354

Exhibits: See Index

# COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

IN RE: INQUEST INTO THE DEATH \* 2352IN000001

OF SAYED ARIF FAISAL

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

RE: INQUEST

## (ENTIRE TRANSCRIPT IMPOUNDED)

DAY 3

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN F. COFFEY

## APPEARANCES:

For the Commonwealth:

Middlesex District Attorney's Office

By: Carrie Spiros, Assistant District Attorney By: Caroline Evans, Assistant District Attorney 15 Commonwealth Avenue - Suite 100

Woburn, Massachusetts 01801

Cambridge Police Officer Liam McMahon: Anderson Goldman Tobin & Pasciucco, LLP By: Kenneth H. Anderson, Esquire 50 Redfield Street - Suite 201 Boston, Massachusetts 02122

Kazarosian Costello, LLP

By: Marsha Kazarosian, Esquire

546 Main Street

Haverhill, Massachusetts 01830

Cambridge, Massachusetts Courtroom 6 May 24, 2023

Court Transcriber: Lisa Marie Phipps, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter

 $\mathcal{LMP}$ 

Serving: Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut New Hampshire LMPREPORTING@GMAIL.COM (508) 641-5801

	,	
	IMPOUNDED TRANSCRIPT	3-2
1	INDEX	
2	T 11 D II V	:
3	WITNESS:	PAGE:
	Keith Marill	6
4	(By Ms. Evans) (By Mr. Anderson)	8 30
5	(By Ms. Kazarosian)	39
6	Cameron Deane (By Ms. Spiros)	48
7	(By Ms. Kazarosian)	84
8	Michael Bonasoro	110
9	(By Ms. Spiros) (By Mr. Anderson)	124, 128
10	(By Ms. Kazarosian)	126
11	Ernesto Colon (By Ms. Spiros)	131
12	Charles DiChiara	3 4 1
13	(By Ms. Evans) (By Mr. Anderson)	141 167
14	(By Ms. Kazarosian)	176
15	Stephanie Devlin (By Ms. Spiros)	184
16	(By Ms. Kazarosian)	201
17	Michael Miceli (By Ms. Spíros)	2069
		2009
18	Brian Harutunion (By Ms. Spiros)	218
19	(By Ms. Kazarosian)	234
20	EXHIBITS:	PAGE:
21	41 Diagram 42 Diagram	114 117
22	43 Photograph 44 Text message	120 137
23	45 Categories of use-of-force model	151
24	46 Three text messages	231
25	FOR IDENTIFICATION:	
	(None.)	
	LMP Court Reporting - (508) 641-5801	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Court called to order.)
3	(9:17 a.m.)
4	THE COURT OFFICER: Court.
5	All rise, please.
6	Hear ye, hear ye, hear ye. All persons
7	having anything to do before the Honorable Judge
8	John Coffey now sitting at Cambridge district
9	within and for the Middlesex County, draw near,
10	give your attendance and you shall be heard.
11	God save the Commonwealth of
12	Massachusetts. Thank you.
13	Court is now in session. You may be
14	seated, please.
15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16	All right. Good morning, everyone.
17	MR. SPIROS: Good morning.
18	MS. EVANS: Good morning.
19	MR. ANDERSON: Judge, I apologize for
20	holding us up here.
21	THE COURT: I got your copy.
22	MS. KAZAROSIAN: Your Honor, and I
23	apologize I didn't realize you were on that text
24	thread that I responded to, so.
25	THE COURT: I wasn't on the text thread.

1	I was on the email.
2	MS. KAZAROSIAN: Email change. Okay.
3	Good.
4	MR. ANDERSON: Did the video did the
5	video go on the email?
6	THE COURT: Yeah.
7	MR. ANDERSON: I was trying to
8	MS. KAZAROSIAN: Okay. Good. Never mind
9	then.
10	THE COURT: I actually opened it up
11	MS. KAZAROSIAN: I take it back.
12	THE COURT: ~- thinking it might be a
13	proposed exhibit, and then I realized that
14	someone stuck was on the Pike.
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: ADA Spiros was
16	right, I'm sorry.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	THE CLERK: So this is a session of the
19	Cambridge District Court.
20	Today's date is May 24, 2023.
21	The Honorable John Coffey is presiding in
22	the matter of Sayed Arif Faisal, Docket No.
23	2352IN1.
24	THE COURT: All right. And just for the
25	record before we begin I notice that the only

1	people in the courtroom are the people who were
2	introduced on the first day, which was Monday,
3	the 22nd, and with that Attorney Spiros I
4	believe it's Dr. Keith Marill.
5	MS. SPIROS: Yes.
6	And that will be ADA Evans's witness.
7	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
8	MS. EVANS: And, your Honor, Dr. Marill
9	does have an attorney with him just for the
10	Court's knowledge.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And he'll be allowed
12	to come in.
13	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
14	THE COURT: And what's the attorney's
15	name?
16	MS. EVANS: It is an associate of his
17	Attorney Matt Dunn, so I don't actually know the
18	associate's name
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MS. EVANS: that's here.
21	THE COURT: We'll find out.
22	MS. EVANS: We can ask.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MR. SPIROS: If we can have Keith Marill.
25	THE COURT OFFICER: Keith Marill?

1	MR. SPIROS: Yes. Thank you.
2	THE COURT OFFICER: Yes.
3	Stand right up there.
4	THE CLERK: Good morning.
5	Doctor, if you could raise your right
6	hand.
7	Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole
8	truth, and nothing but the truth under the pains
9	and penalties of perjury?
10	MR. MARILL: Yes.
11	KEITH MARILL, SWORN
12	THE CLERK: Thank you very much.
13	THE COURT: All right. Good morning,
14	Doctor.
15	THE WITNESS: Hi.
16	THE COURT: And good morning, counsel.
17	What's your name.
18	MR. SPIEGEL: Jordan Spiegel.
19	THE COURT: Attorney Spiegel, all right.
20	Thank you.
21	THE WITNESS: Good morning, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: And, Attorney Spiegel, I've
23	allow attorneys to witnesses to come in during
24	this hearing, but I'm going to tell you both what
25	I've told every witness as well as any other

attorney that may be accompanying the witness here in the court before they begin testifying.

This is a closed hearing. It may not become public for several days or several weeks.

Obviously, there's a sequestration order and on top of that until this case becomes public at a future date I'm going to ask Dr. Marill that you not discuss this case with anyone, excluding your attorney, okay.

Obviously you can speak to him about what you've testified to here today, but, Attorney Spiegel, I'm asking you not to discuss Dr. Marill's testimony with anybody that occurs during here today, except maybe a law partner or an associate, until this matter becomes public, okay.

MR. SPIEGEL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. All right.

Thank you, Doctor.

You can have a seat.

And Attorney Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, your Honor.

EXAMINATION

- 1 BY MS. EVANS:
- 2 Q. Good morning, Doctor.
- 3 A. Hi.
- 4 Q. Can you please state your name and spell your
- 5 last name for the record?
- 6 A. Keith Marill, M-A-R-I-L-L.
- 7 Q. What is your occupation?
- 8 A. (No audible response.)
- 9 Q. Also, just so you're aware, that will record,
- 10 it does not amplify your voice --
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. -- so we are fighting against open windows.
- 13 A. Okay. I'm an emergency physician.
- 14 Q. And how long have you been an emergency
- 15 physician?
- 16 A. Twenty-nine years.
- 17 Q. And where do you currently work?
- 18 A. Mass. General Hospital.
- 19 Q. How long have you been at Mass. General?
- 20 A. Essentially 21 years, although for three
- 21 | years I was away on a fellowship.
- 22 Q. And can you tell us briefly about your
- 23 | educational background?
- 24 A. I went to medical -- I went to college and
- 25 | medical school in Upstate New York, the

- 1 University of Rochester, and then I did a
- 2 residency in internal medicine at the University
- 3 of New Mexico, and residency in emergency
- 4 medicine at Texas Tech University in Texas.
- 5 Q. On January 4th of 2023, were you working that
- 6 day?
- 7 A. January 4th?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And were you working in the emergency
- 11 department at MGH?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Did you treat a patient named Sayed Faisal?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 I'm not sure we knew the patient's name at
- 16 that -- when they came in the ED -- but I know
- 17 that to be his name now.
- 18 Q. And prior to his arrival did you learn
- 19 anything?
- 20 A. Prior to his arrival did I learn anything?
- 21 O. Yes.
- 22 Prior to his arrival at MGH, did you learn
- 23 anything?
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 We -- so for significant trauma cases, or

- some other cases, we sometimes get a call on the radio such as from emergency medical services letting us know that a case is -- that they're -- they're coming in, so they'll give a brief report
- 5 and -- and they did that that day.
- 6 Q. What did you learn?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- A. They said they had a male patient, and I
  don't remember exactly -- you know, this is a
  brief thing that we hear while we're doing our
  work in ED.
  - But the brief -- there were three issues about this patient.
    - They had -- they had lacerations and I think they said self-induced lacerations.
  - The patient may have fallen or jumped from a second-story height.
  - And the patient had multiple gunshot wounds.
  - So three different issues from -- from my perspective, from a medical perspective.
- Q. And, upon his arrival at MGH, where did you meet him?
  - A. Essentially in the -- in the what we could call one of the trauma bays.
- They rolled in. And I may have been

- standing right at the door; I may have been in the room, I don't remember precisely, but basically an acute area of the ED in a trauma bay.
  - Q. Did you make any initial observations of him?
  - A. Yeah. I mean, we always do.
    - Q. What were those?
- A. So he's rolled in on a stretcher by Emergency

  Medical Services.

I don't remember which -- which crew, whether it was Boston City or other; that I don't remember.

He was obviously in -- in tough shape, in extremis, basically. He was in tough shape.

I think he first -- when -- I think when he first rolled in, he might have moved his upper extremities a little bit and -- and he may have had a pulse initially when he first rolled in.

I was more focused on -- at least initially -- on his airway because I knew that was going to be my responsibility.

And -- and as far as his airway goes the patient was not -- not communicative, awake, alert.

It was obvious that he was going to need

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 an airway immediately, essentially.
- Q. When you say "in extremis," what do you mean by that?
  - A. Not awake, pale, lacerations on the left side of the neck that are bleeding; a gunshot wound to the right chest; tourniquets tied around his arms to try to preserve his blood pressure by the Emergency Medical Services, and blood -- blood from his mouth -- blood in his mouth. Not a good
  - Q. And now you said that your primary -- or one of your first focuses was his airway; is that correct?
  - A. Yeah.

picture.

When -- when a patient like this -- when the radio -- when we hear this over the radio, we have -- we have certain things that we do to get ready for a patient such as this.

So the trauma surgery team was called. A trauma surgeon was there with me.

We called the -- the blood bank is notified. They bring O negative blood. We had that immediately available.

There's sort of a whole set of -- of cascade of notifications and things that need to

happen to prepare for somebody -- for a patient
like this in rapid fashion.

So, anyhow, the trauma surgeon was there with me, so I knew that -- that I could focus -- I was going to focus primarily on what was going on -- he was going to need an airway, and I was going to take care of that immediately while -- while the trauma surgeon was doing some of the other things and his team.

Q. Now, you said you were going to take care of the airway immediately.

What did you do?

A. Well, like I said, the patient was not awake and not protecting their airway.

So if -- if you or I, or anybody, has foreign body things in their mouth, bleeding in their mouth, you -- you would cough and spit that out.

This patient wasn't doing that; wasn't in a -- wasn't in a state to be able to do that.

So -- so if they're not, what we call, protecting their airway then -- then it's necessary to -- to protect it for them.

And -- and also if it's not -- if they're not clearly breathing adequately, then it's

necessary, A, to protect their airway and, B, to provide respirations for them.

So both of those tasks are accomplished by placing a tube in the mouth into the trachea, the main airway.

And the tube has a balloon on the end of it, a small balloon that you blow up so that -- that way any secretions, anything in the mouth, can't get into the lungs, No. 1.

And, No. 2, you can use positive pressure and if you squeeze a bag like you'd see on TV or whatever, you're able to put oxygen into the lungs and breathe for the patient.

So those are the two reasons why that's done.

And that day was particularly remarkable because that was a Wednesday -- and so I work in a teaching facility; I supervise resident physicians who are -- who are doctors who are now obtaining specialty training in emergency medicine.

And, typically, I would supervise them and they would do these procedures, such as putting this tube in to obtain an airway.

On this particular day, on Wednesday, they

have conference, they're -- they're in training in conference, so I'm in the emergency department.

I have physician assistants with me, but they're much less well versed in -- in this -- in this sort of a procedure.

So I basically knew that I would do this -- I would need to do this on this day, which would be a little bit different than the usual other days, so I was kind of focused in on that, what was -- the things that I would need to get this done and what have you.

- Q. And so you proceeded to intubate him?
- 14 A. Yeah.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

25

- Q. And is there a process now where you can use a camera sometimes for intubation?
- 17 | A. Yes. Yes.
- 18 Q. But in this case did you do so?
- A. No. No. So -- so when I trained in the
  1990s, we would use what's called a laryngoscope,
  which is a metal device with a light on it to -to elevate the tongue so that you could see the
  airway and put the tube in properly.

In the late 1990s and early 2000s, video laryngoscopy became available.

And it's a wonderful advance such that there's a small video camera on -- on that -- on that instrument.

1.1

So that when you're intubating somebody nowadays commonly one puts the instrument in and you have a screen, just like any CRT screen, and you can see the airway from that camera as you're -- as you're doing it.

And that's -- that's an important advance, that's improved safety and -- and success rate of the procedure.

However, in this case, the patient was bleeding profusely from their mouth -- in their mouth, so if one tried to use a video laryngoscope you can -- you can pretty -- I can pretty much know that the -- the camera would be -- would be blocked.

It would get blood on it, and you wouldn't be able to see, so it wouldn't be effective.

So -- so I just grabbed a regular laryngoscope without any video and -- and used that, suctioned out the blood and then put the tube in.

Q. And normally, or oftentimes, when you intubate do you give meds before intubating

1 somebody?

A. Sure. So, like I said, nobody -- nobody likes having a foreign body in their -- in their throat. You -- you protect it. You cough.

You -- you -- so if you were to try to intubate anybody who's alert and awake, they -- they would resist you.

So we usually use medicines both to sedate the patient and to paralyze the patient so that they don't contract their vocal cords and block the tube, so we use a combination of medicines, two different medicines usually, most commonly.

This is in the emergency setting, which is a little bit different than the anesthesia and the operating room, but it's a variation on a theme.

But, anyhow, in any case, in a patient like this who's not awake, in extremis, you can anticipate that they will not -- they're not awake.

They -- they're not going to contract their vocal cords or any of that, so you don't need to use medicine essentially, you just do it. And that's what I did and -- and the -- there was no -- he wasn't moving or resisting in anyway.

Now, you said you observed a laceration on 1 2 this individual? 3 Α. Yeah. You know, it all happened -- it all 4 was very fast and -- and, you know, kind of 5 dramatic. 6 So I can't remember precisely -- you know, 7 sometimes when we have the luxury of time, I'll 8 actually nowadays will take images. 9 I'll -- I'll use -- we have programs to 10 use our phone, take images, put in the patient's 11 chart and what have you, but -- but this wasn't 12 that kind of situation. 13 But I think -- as I remember I think there 14 were multiple vertical linear lacerations of the 15 left neck and they weren't --16 MS. KAZAROSIAN: I'm sorry, I didn't 17 hear. 18 A. -- obvious --19 MS. KAZAROSIAN: That was the left -- of the left --20 21 THE WITNESS: Left neck. 22 MS. KAZAROSIAN: Left neck. 23 Thank you.

LMP Court Reporting - (508) 641-5801

They weren't obviously grossly deep, but I

didn't get a real good look at them because they

24

were -- they were bleeding a little bit.

But, as I remember, to the best of my memory there were multiple linear lacerations right here on the left neck.

He had -- he had two -- he had tourniquets on his arms that EMS had placed. These are the things that I could see.

And he had a gunshot wound to his left arm, and his right chest, and I think his right leg as well.

Understand that when a patient like this comes in, I'm not that interested in his extremities to begin with.

We're all about -- unless they're bleeding, we're concentrating on his cardiorespiratory system for survival. That's the first priority.

- Q. So did one of those wounds take your primary attention?
- A. Well, yeah.

You know, anything that's in the torso, or what you might call the box, you know, in a chest area, that -- that's -- you know, you're -- you're thinking -- that's your primary concern, you know, where it looked -- we were told the

patient was shot. It looked like a bullet wound, more or less. So where did that bullet go?

Once the bullet enters his body it can -- it can go in all sorts of directions. It's not a linear pathway.

So there's no telling where that went. It could be in his lung, his heart, or elsewhere.

Q. So after intubating him and making these observations, what did you do next?

Again, I knew that I would be responsible for his airway in this particular situation, and so I had my tools gathered, the things that I needed -- suctioned, intubated.

So the patient rolls in.

And also put a tube in his stomach to evacuate that and see if there was any blood there. That was okay.

And understand that even once he was intubated, there's some blood coming back that endotracheal tube, which is not surprising since he has -- you can pretty much guess that that right lung is injured, so that's not particularly surprising, but -- so there's blood in the endotracheal tube; not so much in the orogastric; in the tube going to the stomach was

1 okay.

In the meantime, like I said, I think that somebody -- that he had a pulse briefly upon arrival, but shortly after arrival he -- he did not have a pulse.

He lost his pulse and, of course, he wasn't -- he wasn't awake or conscious of what have you.

So in that setting, in the setting of trauma, you want to -- you have to -- you have to try to relieve the most critical issues as fast as possible.

And what that means is that we worry about injuries to the chest, bleeding in the chest, or blood or air outside the lung compressing the lung, making it difficult to breathe.

So in that setting a few things happen. We want to put in -- obviously we want to put in intravenous lines in order to resuscitate the patient to give him blood, which was done and he was -- he was getting blood shortly after arrival.

That was done by one of the trauma -- trauma residents or fellows, trauma trainees.

And then -- and then we place a tube in each chest -- in each -- in each chest to -- for a number of reasons -- to see if there's blood in that chest, to evacuate any blood, and to evacuate any air that might be outside the lung result -- that had resulted from injury.

So he received chest tubes on each side within minutes of arriving in the ED.

He had a large amount of blood from his right chest tube; again, not surprising since is there was a bullet wound in the right chest.

He did not have any blood from the left chest tube, and there was no air rush on either side, so there was no air outside his lungs.

So that was done. He had -- he had -- he had a good IV placed.

I can't remember, if it was essential -whether it was in a peripheral vein, a central
rain, exactly what he had, I don't remember
exactly.

But he had a good IV. He was getting blood. And he's lost his pulse.

So at that point we know that he doesn't have compression on his lungs, but the possibility that his heart is injured remains.

So he may have -- he may have damage to his heart or he may have blood outside his heart compressing the heart. There's a number of possibilities.

And the next appropriate step, if the patient's pulseless min a setting of acute trauma where he just lost his pulse in ED mand we've put in chest tubes; that does not solve the problem, the next step is open the chest and to investigate the heart.

So that's what I said to the trauma surgeon, I said, Well -- this is Dr. Parks -- I said, I think you need to open his chest now.

He's like, yeah, you're right.

So -- so that's -- so he went ahead and did that.

He was standing on the patient's left side; and he did that, opened the chest, investigated the heart.

Investigating the heart means a few things.

You want to make a small nick in the -- in the -- in the membrane that surrounds the heart because sometimes that can hold blood and that blood can prevent the heart from relaxing and --

1 and filling -- filling with blood.

So he made a small nick. There was no blood around the heart.

In short, the heart was intact and -- and it had looked like it was -- it was not receiving a lot of blood. It looked like the patient had a low blood volume.

You can tell that's -- from feeling and looking -- from feeling the heart primarily.

So, in summary, it -- it appeared that he was having what we would call an exsanguinating traumatic arrest, meaning that he has had cardiac arrest from blood loss. That's what it looked like.

And you could see where that blood loss -- it -- certainly there was a large amount of blood loss in his right chest.

I don't know what he lost in the field. We weren't told that he lost a lot of blood in the field. Sometimes that's the case.

We weren't told that. There wasn't a history of that, so -- and he wasn't bleeding a lot from -- from his wounds.

I think he had some wrist wounds as well, but they looked minor.

1 2

So it looked like the problem was basically that bullet in his -- in his right chest and wherever it had gone besides his chest.

But we knew that it had not affected his heart or his left lung. That we knew.

So the treatment for this is to stop the bleeding.

And that means give -- well, No. 1, give blood and, No. 2, stop the bleeding.

And at that point he's either bleeding from his right lung or that bullet went into his abdominal cavity and it's coming from there.

And at that point he needs to go to the operating room to -- to be further opened to -- we don't usually go past what I've described to you in the ED.

We'll do a thoracotomy -- it's called a thoracotomy -- opening the chest, investigate the heart -- oh, I didn't mention it, you also clamp the main blood vessel, the aorta such that basically cutoff blood to the lower half of his body because what we're trying to do is preserve blood flow to his heart and his brain.

So you cross clamp the aorta, which was done, try to preserve whatever you can going to

his heart and his brain; and then he goes to the operating room where they'll, in this case in particular, they open the right side of his chest, investigated that, and then they did what's called a laparotomy and opened his abdomen because ultimately a lot of that blood -- and this part I know from reading his chart, I wasn't in the operating room, but, ultimately, a lot -- a large part of his blood loss was because that bullet went through his right diaphragm into his liver, and he was bleeding in the liver; and that can sometimes be difficult to control, but, in any case that's where he had had massive blood loss from his right chest, his lung, and his liver.

So that's -- so he went to the operating room, and those things were discovered and what have you.

- Q. So the thoracotomy and the opening of his chest and the clamping of the aorta, all of that happened in the emergency department; is that right?
- 23 A. Correct.

Q. And then he was transferred to the operating room where --

1 A. Yeah.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- where you're aware that the bullet was
- 3 ultimately located in his liver?
  - A. Yeah. And all of that happened -- I was -- I was happy about this resuscitation. All of that happened within minutes of arrival. He wasn't in the ED very long.
    - I don't know exactly. It's in the medical -- I don't -- I didn't -- but I know that it wasn't -- he wasn't -- we did what we needed to do and went to Step B.
- Q. And now you said that the location of it in his liver was of note to you; is that right?
  - A. Of note to me?
- Q. Like, you commented on the bleeding associated with that.
- 17 A. Yeah. Well -- right.
  - Like I said, he had an external bullet wound in the right chest; and it appears that that went through -- the bullet, when it entered his right chest, obviously hit his right lung and then went through his diaphragm and injured -- and injured the liver.
    - Now, we didn't know that in the ED, but that was clearly a suspicion that he was bleeding

1 internally.

We knew he was bleeding in his chest and he may well have been bleeding in his abdomen.

We have ways to answer that in the emergency department; but, in this case, in the setting of a code, the best thing to do is -- is to get him to the operating room so that they can open that area and -- and stop that bleeding, that's the fastest -- that's the next way to go.

Q. And you also observed lacerations to his wrist; is that correct?

A. Yeah, I think so.

Again, I didn't really -- they weren't bleeding, so I didn't -- I didn't pay much attention to that.

It's not of immediate relevance to me, but
I -- I think he did.

Like I say, we took off -- he had his tourniquets on his arms -- on his upper arms and during -- you know, we removed those. They served their purpose for transport.

I know he had a bullet -- what looked like a bullet wound to his left upper arm; and, as I recall, he had some superficial lacerations to his wrist.

And I didn't really get a good look at his legs, but I believe he did have one or two gunshot wounds to his right leg.

MS. EVANS: One moment, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sure.

BY MS. EVANS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

3.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Are you ultimately aware if Mr. Faisal passed away at MGH?
- 9 A. Oh, I know he did.
- 10 Q. And you're aware of that from --
- 11 A. I'm aware of that.

He went to the operating room. Like I said, they opened the right side of his -- they extended the opening what's called a clam shell procedure to open the entire chest so they can see the right side.

They realized he had damage to his diaphragm, so, therefore, they opened his abdomen. They packed it and what have you.

But, in the meantime, he's -- I don't -- again, I wasn't in the operating room; but he was pulseless for most of the time he was in the emergency department.

And I believe they were doing chest compressions, cardiac compressions, while he was

in the operating room.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, essentially, he passed away from exsanguination, from blood loss -- at least in my eyes -- from -- from massive blood loss.

There's a point where -- even with blood transfusions, there's a point where a person doesn't survive.

When you get to massive transfusions, it gets tougher and tougher to pull somebody through that.

Q. Thank you, Doctor.

MS. EVANS: Nothing further, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Attorney Anderson, any questions?

MR. ANDERSON: Just a couple of

questions.

### EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. ANDERSON:

Q. Good morning, Dr. Marill. My name is Ken Anderson. I represent Cambridge Police officer Liam McMahon in this matter.

You don't have any knowledge of the order of the gunshot wounds, correct? You don't know which wound was first? Second? Third? Fourth?

A. I do not.

- 1 Ο. You mentioned that there were tourniquets on
- 2 Mr. Faisal when he came in. Do you remember
- 3 chest seals being on his body?
- 4 Α. Chest seal, you mean on the bullet wound?
- 5 0. Yes.

9

10

- 6 I -- I vaguely -- so that would be, often,
- 7 standard procedure by Emergency Medical Services.
- 8 And I would say vaguely, yes, but, like I

I can't for sure clearly remember a

- say, a lot happened in a short period of time.
- 11 picture in my mind of removing that chest seal
- 12 off his right chest; but it's quite possible that
- 13 he had it. That's the best I can say.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 I can't remember for sure 100 percent.
- 16 0. And in terms of the chest tubes you put in,
- 17 could you just explain that procedure to us?
- 18 Α. Sure.
- So, again, the reason to do it is because 19
- 20 if there's air or blood outside the lung, it can
- 21 compress the lung such that the lung cannot
- 22 expand, and, therefore, you can't have adequate
- 23 respirations.
- 24 So -- so that has to be decompressed.
- 25 That's one of the main reasons. And, of course,

if there's blood in the chest cavity, you want to remove it. You want to know how much there is and what have you.

Normally in a nontrauma situation you would explain this to the patient, you would explain why you're doing it, and obtain verbal and written consent and what have you.

You would anesthetize the area where you're -- where you're placing it and -- and then you would go ahead and do it.

In this sort of situation the patient's not awake. They're not feeling pain. This is an emergency. You -- you do it.

So what that -- what you're doing specifically is you're making an incision that's roughly an inch long in the lateral chest -- midlateral chest region.

Then you're -- you're going in with a -- with a blunt instrument to kind of move the muscles in between the ribs out of the way, you're doing this right over the rib, and you kind of push in.

And then eventually you need to push through the -- the lining of the lung, what we call the pleura, you kind of push through that

bluntly, not with a sharp instrument.

And then you're in the chest cavity. You expand that opening a little bit.

Then you take a tube; you put a clamp on the tube to make the -- the end of it as narrow as possible and you place that through the opening that you've made.

You advance the -- the tube has holes on the side of it to -- to drain out fluid or air, whatever might be in there.

So you advance that tube beyond the hole such that all the openings are within the chest cavity.

You sew that tube in on the outside, and then you connect that tube to a -- what's called a pleura-vac, which is a suction device so that it's suctioning out any air or fluid that's in the chest cavity.

- Q. Okay. Before putting the chest tube in, is there something that's done to disinfect or sanitize the skin around that area where the tube is going in?
- 23 A. Ideally, yes.

You'd put Betadine, an Iodine solution over the chest cavity over that area. You would

certainly do that in an elective procedure.

Usually you do that in a trauma situation.

Again, I don't remember -- his chest tubes were placed by one of the trauma fellows and the trauma attending, I believe.

And I wasn't really watching that because I was busy with his airway, so I -- you know, I don't know exactly what -- what solutions or what they used or, et cetera. I wasn't watching that, because that was happening simultaneously.

But commonly if -- time permitting, you would put antiseptic solution on.

- Q. And when the chest itself is opened up would there also be some antiseptic solution?
- A. Ideally, yes.

Sure.

Α.

- Q. Okay. And the reason I'm asking if -- if -- when a firearm discharges, there's gasses that come out from the barrel of the firearm and --
- Q. -- potentially stuff, and there's a certain distance where there may be gunshot residue.

The -- the actions that you took in the emergency room, had there been some type of evidence that could have been used later on; is it possible that that could have been wiped off

1 during the medical procedures?

A. That's possible. He may have -- again, I don't -- I wasn't watching that, so I can't say if he had Betadine placed on his chest.

If he did -- oh, so one clarification. So when the tube is put in you would not -- you might wonder, well, do you put the tube in where the bullet went in?

No, you would not do that for a number of reasons.

The tube is put in -- away from where that -- the -- for one thing the bullet wound was in the front, what we call anterior, and the tube would generally go on the sides.

So the tube was not put where the bullet wound exactly was.

Could cleaning -- usually, though, when you put on cleaning solution, especially in a situation like this, you just take a bunch of it and put it on all over the place.

So could it have -- could he have had cleaning solution placed -- so if he did have a dressing placed on the field, which is commonly the case, though I don't remember for certain for certain, if he did, we would generally remove

that just because we don't need it anymore.

We're going to put a tube in. So we don't need that -- it's a special kind of dressing that would be used, and once we put a tube in we don't need that. So we would have removed that if it was there.

And then, ideally, just take a lot of Iodine solution and place it on his chest, usually widely.

It could have been where that bullet went in or not and that I  $\--$  I couldn't say for sure.

I don't -- I don't specifically remember seeing Betadine all over the anterior right chest, but it's possible there was. I don't know.

Q. Okay. And we've heard testimony here from a Cambridge Police officer who had some specialized training in, essentially, battlefield medicine who testified that he made kind of a makeshift seal by wrapping up gauze and then applying pressure with a glove.

Do you remember trying to communicate, or anybody from the Cambridge Police who would have been in uniform, trying to communicate what

efforts had been done before coming into the hospital?

A. I'm sure they did. We always -- you know, we always say, Hey, you know, what do you have?

What's -- you know.

Again, this was a very pressure-packed sort of rapid situation, so I don't -- I don't remember what conversation we had specifically.

I didn't specifically speak to them. Some of the other doctors in the room may have.

And let me just -- since you're -- a few things -- two -- two items.

One, the seal -- in case anybody is wondering, the point -- the purpose of that seal, that dressing that was evidently placed on, is -- what you want to do is if there's no dressing there when a person breathes they can -- they can -- when you breathe your diaphragm goes down, and you have negative pressure in your chest; you draw air into your lung when you inspire.

You're -- you're creating negative pressure by having your diaphragm go down and suck air into your lung through your nose and mouth.

So you can imagine if there's a hole in the chest, then you can suck air into that hole in the chest. And that's not good.

As I've said, you don't want air outside the lung around the lung in the chest.

So you want to block that air from going into the chest.

However, if there's pressure, if there is air in the chest outside the lung under pressure, you would like that air to be able to escape.

That's a good thing.

So the idea is -- what EMS personnel will do -- or police -- what they'll do -- what they're trained to do is place a dressing that is essentially three-sided.

So it's a dressing that goes on and blocks air from going into the chest, but if there's air under pressure in the chest it can escape through one side of that dressing.

So that's the kind of special dressing that would be placed for this kind of situation.

And, like I said, I don't want to say anything that's not -- that I'm not certain of, but evidently that -- that's what he would have had there.

And, like I say, once we put in a tube, then that dressing is -- then we're evacuating anything that's outside the lung and the chest, and you don't need that dressing anymore so we would just remove it.

And then the other thing I want to say is that he may have also had Iodine solution placed on his chest once he went to the operating room because they expanded his incision across the chest to do this clamshell, so it's possible that he had solution placed on his chest upstairs that I wouldn't have known about.

- Q. Okay. But it's fair to say that you and your staff at Mass. General did everything you could to keep this gentleman alive?
- A. That's our job.
  - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. ANDERSON: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Attorney Kazarosian.

MS. KAZAROSIAN: Thank you, your Honor.

EXAMINATION

- 23 BY MS. KAZAROSIAN:
- 24 Q. Good morning, Dr. Marill.
- 25 A. Hi.

- Q. My name is Marsha Kazarosian, and I represent the family of Mr. Faisal.
- 3 A. Um-hum.
- Q. You first talked about him coming in he was in extremis.
- Does that sort of mean he was on the verge of passing away?
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. You also said that prior to intubation you usually use meds for some kind of sedation, a mild sedation, but you don't remember that it was used for this intubation?
- 13 A. Oh, I know I did.
- 14 | O. Okay.

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. I did -- I make that decision and we wouldn't use that if a patient's not awake.
  - If they're not awake, they're not feeling pain; it's a -- it's of no -- it's of no benefit.
    - And potentially it -- it cause -- the sedating medicine can potentially lower blood pressure some, so it would not be a wise to do -- to use that in an unnecessary situation.
- 23 It would be detrimental, potentially.
- Q. Now, once you went into the OR and they were expanding the laceration and going into his chest

and stomach, would they have possibly used some kind of sedation at that point?

A. Um, that's possible.

That would be -- so when he goes to the operating room the anesthesiologist would sort

operating room the anesthesiologist would sort of take control of that -- that issue and they would or would not -- I didn't -- I looked at his record.

I didn't note whether he was given any sedating medicines in the operating room in this case.

But let me be clear that I didn't -- I guess I didn't realize -- let me be clear about that.

He was not awake upon arrival in the ED, and he was not awake the entire time he was in the ED.

If he was then we definitely would give him sedating medicines or -- you know, we don't want people in pain.

We don't want people remembering what they go through.

So some of the medicines we use also help people to not remember the events.

We would definitely do that if the patient

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 was awake.

3

4

5

6

He was definitely not awake and so that would not have been beneficial.

- Q. But you don't know for sure in the OR what they may have given or you didn't check to see if they had given --
- 7 A. Yeah, I don't know the answer to that.
- It's -- that's an interesting -- I would -- I
  would guess that he was not given significant
  medicine upstairs, but I don't know.
- 11 Q. Is Versed considered significant medicine?
- 12 A. Versed is a benzodiazepine-sedating medicine.
- It's a sedative. It wouldn't put somebody to sleep. It's a sedating medicine.
- Q. Is that something that might be given in this kind of situation for someone who's going to go in the OR and have his body opened you?
- 18 A. Um, it could be. It --
- Q. Okay. Did -- when he came in, though, you said he -- his arms or something was moving and then at that point, after a short period of time, he wasn't moving anymore?
- 23 A. Correct,
- Q. Okay. And you said you --
- 25 A. To the best of my knowledge. I --

1 Q. Right.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 A. -- I think I that I saw him -- a little bit of movement, this.
- Q. And you're demonstrating for the record that
- 5 he -- both arms may be flaring a little bit?
- A. I think so, very briefly when he first came in.

But it wasn't more than seconds when it was clear that he didn't -- that he didn't have a pulse.

And at that point, if a person's pulseless, usually they're not -- they're not moving.

He wasn't -- for example, often a trauma patient may come in and be -- and resist our activities.

They don't really know what we're doing.

You know, they don't -- and they may sort of
resist us, that's often the case.

That was not the case here. He was not doing anything in short order.

- Q. Now, you had said that you did notice the lacerations on his neck and you remembered possibly on his wrist?
- 25 A. Correct.

Were -- were either of those -- any of those 1 0. lacerations critical or life-threatening? 3 Α. Um, not obviously to me. The neck gets our attention. The neck 5 wounds could have been. I -- I don't know the extent of them. 6 7 At the moment he came in, they were not bleeding heavily. He was kind of oozing from his 8 9 neck, but he wasn't bleeding a lot. 10 That could be for a number of reasons. They could have been superficial and not in any 11 12 significant vessels, or it could have been 13 because he had already had substantial blood loss 14 internally, or externally, and at that point 15 people may not bleed as much anyhow. So I don't know -- I saw the lacerations. 16 17 I saw they weren't doing much, so I'm not so interested in them at that moment. 18 If he had survived, then we would have to 19 investigate those very carefully. 20 21 There's a lot of critical structures in a person's neck, blood vessels, neurologic, what 22 23 have you, so that would require very careful

investigation eventually, but it wasn't the first

priority, let's put it that way.

24

25

Q. Thank you. And you did describe the wrist wounds as being minor, so --

A. I -- I -- again, that's a little bit -- I can't -- you know, I like -- I think in terms of pictures and I just remember that -- I think he had little somethings on -- on his wrist, but it wasn't anything that -- that I was worried about.

It wasn't an important issue at the moment.

- Q. And if he were -- had the lacerations on his neck before he was shot and was running around, would that indicate to you that the lacerations were not life-threatening?
- A. I wouldn't venture to say there.

Like I said, there's a number of issues to consider with neck wounds, and it doesn't all happen -- it's not like -- if somebody has a wound it can bleed emergently and significantly, but it may be significant and not bleed a whole lot at first.

So, for example, if there's an injury to the main artery, the carotid artery, one of the main arteries that goes to the neck and is on the lateral side of your neck, the artery can be injured and not necessarily bleed a lot

immediately.

2.1

There could be damage to the wall that can be temporarily walled off, or there can be damage to the wall that ultimately forms a clot in the artery that prevents blood from going to the brain.

So even just for the carotid artery, there are a number of possibilities that one has to think about.

I saw those and I thought, huh, I was -- I was thinking I wonder if that's where the blood in his airway is coming from.

It's conceivable that whatever was there was the origin of the blood in his airway. I couldn't get a good look.

You know, I suctioned the blood that was there in order to get the tube in, but it wasn't the time for me to be looking.

You know, he -- he would get -- he would get specifically scoped later to look for airway injury that might have caused that.

So, to answer your question, I don't think you can draw conclusions -- I can't draw conclusions as to the seriousness or extent of an injury based on initial hemorrhage, especially in

1	that neighborhood.
2	Q. Understood.
3	Thank you, Doctor.
4	MS. KAZAROSIAN: I have no further
5	questions.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All
7	right.
8	Thank you very much, Doctor.
9	(Witness excused.)
10	THE COURT: Attorney Spiros.
11	MS. SPIROS: Yes, thank you.
12	Cameron Deane, please.
13	THE COURT OFFICER: I'm sorry, say that
14	last name again.
15	MS. SPIROS: Cameron Deane.
16	THE CLERK: Can you raise your right
17	hand?
18	Do you solemnly swear to tell the truth,
19	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so
20	help you God?
21	MR. DEANE: I do.
22	CAMERON DEANE, SWORN
23	THE WITNESS: Good morning, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: All right. Good morning,
25	Officer.
- 1	

1	Officer, just I'm sure you're aware
2	there's a sequestration order in this case; and,
3	unlike other cases, due to the nature of this
4	hearing, it's a closed hearing, so that
5	sequestration order is going to carry over until
6	this matter becomes public at a later date.
7	So I'm just going to ask you not to
8	discuss this case or your testimony with anyone,
9	excluding if you have an attorney, until this
10	matter becomes public. Okay?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you.
12	THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
13	Officer.
14	All right. Attorney Spiros.
15	MS. SPIROS: Thank you.
16	EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. SPIROS:
18	Q. Good morning, sir.
19	A. Good morning.
20	Q. Can you please for the record, spelling your
21	first and last name and introduce yourself to the
22	Court?
23	A. Yes.
24	Good morning, your Honor. Good morning.
25	My name is Cameron Deane. My first name

- is C-A-M-E-R-O-N. My last name is D-E-A-N-E.
- 2 Q. Sir, how are you employed?
- 3 A. I am a police officer with the City of
- 4 Cambridge.
- 5 Q. How long have you been with the City of
- 6 | Cambridge?
- 7 A. I started there in 2004 and prior to that I
- 8 was a police officer in the City of Medford for
- 9 six years.
- 10 \ Q. Any military experience prior to that?
- 11 A. No, ma'am.
- 12 Q. Can you tell the Court a little bit about
- 13 your educational background?
- 14 A. I attended high school at Morris High School
- 15 and I attended college at Northeastern, at Anna
- 16 | Marıa, and finished my degree at Curry College
- 17 | with a bachelor's.
- 18 Q. And for the Cambridge Police Department, can
- 19 you talk a little bit about some of the roles
- 20 that you've held over the years?
- 21 A. So I began my career in the night operations
- 22 division as a patrol officer.
- 23 I've participated in the training
- 24 division. I've been a trainer for the state
- 25 | since 2003.

1 I've been on the motorcycle unit. I was on the tactical patrol force. 2 And I've also done several plain clothes 3 4 assignments. And so can you tell us a little bit about 5 your current role and what you're doing now on a 6 daily basis? 7 So I am currently assigned as a staff 8 instructor to the recruit academy, which is the 9 Cambridge Northeastern Police Academy, which is 10 located over at Northeastern University. 11 Can you tell the Court if you had any 12 0. specialized training in your -- in your role? 13 Yes. So I have been not only trained as a 14staff instructor, but I'm also a defensive tactic 15 instructor trainer for the State of 16 Massachusetts. 17 And I'm also a national ICAT instructor 18 19 for Integrated Communication Assessment and 20 Tactics. If I could ask you first about the defensive 21 22 tactics instructor training. Could you talk a little bit about what 23 that involved? 24

25

Sure.

Α.

In 2003, it's a three-week course to be certified by the State of Massachusetts.

I attended that out in New Braintree at the State Police Academy.

And then, over the years through teaching at different academies around the State on a consistent basis, there's a nomination process that takes place.

I was nominated to be an instructor trainer in 2011 and completed that process, which is a several-day process of testing knowledge -- both classroom knowledge and practical knowledge. And then I received that certificate in 2011.

And since that time I've been acting as an instructor trainer, which is more of an administrative and mentoring role as well as teaching, so I travel around the state and oversee some of the instructors.

I do a lot of the recertifications.

I also, for a period of time, was the county coordinator, which is just an assistant to the State coordinator for Bristol County and then for Middlesex County up until 2022.

Q. Thank you, sir.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Could you just briefly describe what -what is meant by "defensive tactics"? Α. So defensive tactics is considered things like use of force, personal defense, police baton, use of oleoresin capsicum spray. It also can go into deescalation. It can go into handcuffing and control and restraint. Q. And you mentioned as well that you are an instructor for the ICAT training; is that correct? A. I am. I'm an instructor nationally for the police executive research forum on ICAT. Q. We've heard a lot about ICAT in this proceeding. Can you tell us what ICAT stands for? Α. ICAT stands for Integrating Communication Assessment and Tactics. And when did you first become acquainted with Q. the ICAT training and system? A. So, in 2017, I was asked by my agency to look into ICAT. I flew out to Minnesota and attended the trainer session out there, which was where I

first got my initial trainer certificate in ICAT.

1 I then did a shortened version of the 2 program. 3 The Department had asked me to just introduce it to our agency in 2018. 4 5 So I did that during our in-service 6 training segment. 7 It was a four-hour block of training 8 in which they got a two-hour introduction to 9 ICAT. After that, in 2019, the Police Executive 10 Research Forum came out with their instructors to 11 12 train our agency at the Cambridge Police 13 Department. I was asked to join their trainers and 14 15 coteach the department in ICAT, which I did in the spring of 2020 -- I'm sorry, 2019, excuse me. 16 17 And then, after coteaching from January 18 until April and July of 2019, I was asked to join 19 their national training core. 20 Q. And when you say the national training core, 21 what is it you do in that regard? So Police Executive Research Forum will send 22 Α. 23 trainers out to agencies, or they also sponsor

If an agency requests the ICAT curriculum

the trainer sessions all over the country.

24

2.5

be taught to them by (indiscernible) trainers then they'll send a group of us out to that agency.

Sometimes it's to train trainers that will then go train the agency, and other times it's to train the entire agency.

And I've done both in many, many locations across the country and including some of the -- the more notable agencies throughout the United States.

- Q. When you say notable agency, which ones?
- A. Ones that have been either in the news or have found themselves in controversy or in someway where Police Executive Research Forum training is coming in after as a result of either compliance to a consent decree or some -- some of those -- so that's also some of the times that I come in and do those trainings.
  - O. Understood.

I want to ask you, if you could, describe for the Court -- and I'll note for the record that the ICAT training materials and guide have been provided in the discovery and have been marked in this case, and we'll get you the discovery notice on that for the record in just

1 one moment.

But if we could focus a little bit first just on the ICAT overview or the mission statement.

Can you tell the Court what the goal of ICAT is?

A. The goal of ICAT is to have officers recognize situations where somebody is either in mental or situational crisis, meaning it's either something mental or it's some emotional thing that has forced them into a situation where they can no longer cope as a normal or as a -- as a -- they're coping mechanisms have failed I should say.

And, when that happens, we want officers to try and recognize the assessment of both risk and threat as to what it is that they're looking at when they first arrive on scene.

We are also -- want them to use strategies to try and slow down incidents when that is allowed to do so and to recognize situations where immediate action may be necessary versus situations where the situation allows time for them to seek alternatives or additional resources to come on scene.

Q. And the training itself, the ICAT training, is that focused specifically for situations where there are not firearms involved?

A. That's correct.

The focus of the training is on situations where people are either unarmed or armed with something other than a firearm.

- Q. And when you say "something other than a firearm," generally what are you talking about?
- A. It could be a stick, knife, bat, a hockey stick. It could be somebody driving a vehicle.

It could be anything that -- that -- that could be used to cause harm to others.

- Q. And can you explain to the Court what the distinction is, why the focus for this training is on nonfirearms related situations?
- A. The introduction of a firearm changes a dynamic and how you can tactically respond to that.

Sometimes, especially if there's nothing to get behind or if there's nothing that can stop some sort of projectile from a weapon, because of the distance that those projectiles can travel and the force and the power that they have, even

- 1 after traveling those distances, it's very
- 2 hard to initiate some of the ICAT initiatives
- 3 when you don't have the ability to get distance
- 4 and -- and, again, distance usually creates time
- 5 for us; but, because of the speed of the
- 6 projectiles, it also cuts down on the amount of
- 7 | time that we can react.
- 8 Q. Before I get further into kind of the
- 9 | teachings of ICAT, I want to ask you, you
- 10 mentioned that the Cambridge Police Department
- 11 was trained in this in spring of 2019; is that
- 12 right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And were you asked to produce by one of your
- 15 | supervisors some training records in relation to
- 16 this specific proceeding?
- 17 A. I was asked to provide the training guide
- 18 from 2019 that we used.
- 19 Q. And you were --
- 20 A. I'm sorry, it's actually the 2016 training
- 21 | guide, but it was used in 2019.
- 22 Q. Thank you.
- And specifically, though, related to the
- officers, was the entire department required to
- 25 take this training?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

At that time the entire department that went through the in-service program was required to take that training.

Since that time I've also trained all of the new officers who have arrived through the recruit process because I -- as a trainer at the recruit academy they've got ICAT as well now in addition to the required MPTC program.

- Q. And, specifically, is there a record kept of the officers who engaged in this training?
- A. I believe there is, yes.

MS. SPIROS: Okay. And for the record, your Honor, the training records for officer Liam McMahon and Officer Robert Colbert were provided --

THE COURT: Yep.

MS. SPIROS: -- in discovery for the -- the materials related to ICAT training guide and this officer's CV or Discovery 6 --

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. SPIROS: -- for the purposes of the record.

24 BY MS. SPIROS:

Q. Returning sort of back to the -- the ICAT

mission statement and the goals of the training, can you tell the Court a little bit about what the officers will go through in this training?

What does it look like for them?

A. Sure.

So the day starts off in the classroom. It's six modules.

There's an introductory module, and then we teach them something called the critical decision-making model, which is just a visual representation of a thought process that we try and explain that officers typically would go through when trying to mitigate -- or, I'm sorry, when trying to navigate a critical incident.

Then the third one is tactical communication. I'm -- I'm trying to go back to the 2016, so I apologize for one second.

And then the fourth module was crisis recognition.

And the fifth one was operational tactics.

And, in those modules, what -- what we try and do in ICAT is typically we would go to training on risk, and then we'd go to training on tactics, and then we go to training on

communication and what was happening was there was no training that really took all of those things and put them into a central location where they all began to -- to wrap with one another.

And so that was what attracted me to ICAT in the beginning, and it's also one of the things that keeps me teaching it is that we're trying to combine all these factors together so that the officers are getting a complete package of not only how we would respond, but how would we communicate once we respond, and then how would we respond tactically once we respond, and so it all layers on top of each other.

And then the day culminates at the end with a question-and-answer session.

And the following morning they are put through scenario training.

And so for our agency, and for most agencies that we go to, it's three scenarios that they're asked to go through.

And they have to then show us that they understand the tenets of ICAT as they go through those scenarios.

So there's role players that come in, and then there's also evaluators at those scenarios.

And we watch the individuals as they go through and then we comment and perform.

And depending on if it's train the trainer, there's a pass/fail component to it; but if it's just a training for the agency we give our comments and our suggestions as to what we thought went well and what we thought maybe was a missed opportunity.

- Q. And so one of the overall goals, as I understand it, is to reduce the need to use deadly force in a situation; is that right?
- A. I think it's to reduce the use of force all together if we can. That's really what the goal of the training is.
  - Q. And the other -- the sort of next piece that ICAT talks about is the idea of sanctity of human life.

What is that teaching?

A. So the sanctity of human life, and the way that I explain it, it has three parts.

It has the individual in crisis, if that's what we're discussing; it has the general public; and it has the officers on scene.

And when those parts become out of balance due to one entity or another -- and, you know, I

2.5

would give the example that if the subject puts the general public at risk, then the officers then have to decide is this immediate enough that I need to take an action to mitigate that risk and bring it back into balance.

And that action could be relocation, using force. It just depends on what the situation is -- is describing.

For -- if the subject's actions are creating a stir amongst the general population and we think that -- that the community is now creating the risk to the subject, we may have to step in and create a -- a blockage on the community to present.

So it really just depends on who's putting that out of balance with each other as to how we respond to that.

But what we want is there used to be a thought many years ago about -- you know, it's just okay if I go home; what we've trained our agencies everybody needs to go home.

And that should be the goal of what our purpose is on scene.

Q. And in terms of the kind of initial steps in the training as it regards dealing with a person

in crisis, or an individual in crisis, does it depend on the location of the response?

A. Oh, absolutely because the -- one of the things about ICAT, the first tenet, really, is to try and stabilize, and depending on where you are can absolutely dictate how stable that situation is.

You know, if -- if I'm in a closed room in a house where I can shut a door and talk to somebody on the other side of the door, that's a pretty stable situation.

That person would have to come out, you know, and get through that entity.

If I'm in a crowded subway station, it might be a little bit different.

That may require a lot more and it may require me to -- to create a parameter where I can only allow this amount of control here because if I allow it to get past me, somebody else could get hurt or something else could go on. So the location becomes key to the stability of the incident.

It also depends on what resources you have, what is available to you in those moments that you're trying to stabilize that incident.

1 When you say what is available to you in that 0. 2 moment, primarily what -- what are you relying on first in a crisis situation? 3 4 So, initially, upon responding, when you go 5 to a crisis, you're looking at whether or not there are -- or the factual information. 6 7 What do I see? What do I know is going on here to the best of my ability? 8 9 And then I have to assess that as to 10 threats and risks. 11 So risk is the potential of something that 12 could happen. 13 Threat is it is potentially actually 14 happening now; it's immediate. 15 And then the next step is I look at do I 16 have the legal authority to even do anything here? 17 And, if I don't, then I have to ask myself 18 19 the question why am I here. 20 So those are sort of the first initial 21 steps that we go through. 22 Once we've established that we have legal 23 authority to be on scene to actually respond to

this type of call, the next thing is am I capable

of handling this by myself or not?

24

25

And, if I'm not, what resources do I need to get on scene as quickly as possible for initial stabilization?

And then I have to ask for those resources. I have to put that stuff into action. And so that's kind of how it flows.

So, once we get on scene, the initial stabilization, if we can get it stable, then would allow time to bring in some more additional resources.

And, if the stability continues, maybe we can bring in more additional resources if needed and it just depends on what the situation's dictating.

If the immediacy of -- of the risk or I should say if the risk becomes an immediate threat, well, we may be out of time.

There may not be the opportunity to now wait for more resources to get there because we may have to take an action to preserve the sanctity of life in whatever direction is being threatened by the action.

Q. Could you just expand a little bit more on the stabilization piece and what you mean by potentially assessing and calling in additional

resources?

What is the training in that regard?

A. So the first thing we'd like to do is we'd like to reasonably contain whatever the risk or the threat is.

And that's based on what's faced by the individuals when they get there -- when I say the "individual," I mean the officers when they arrive on scene, their initial assessment on what's going on.

Most of the time we are able to, at least initially begin to stabilize by setting up some sort of containment.

So, again, inside a house we may close a door; we may -- we may -- if a person's in a room we may just leave them in that room.

We don't want to enter that room because we don't want to create an unstable situation by walking in on that.

When you're talking about outdoors, it's -- we call in additional units or additional officers because you're trying to create some sort of perimeter.

You're trying to create some sort of area in which the officers and whatever is going on

1 can safely operate.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And the training in regards to -- I should ask you before I go further.

You're talking about general tenets of ICAT and the teachings of ICAT, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. You have not specifically reviewed or been asked to opine about a specific opinion related to this case; is that correct?
- 10 A. No, I have not, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. And so, just to go back to the outside scenario that you're talking about, how -- can you expand as little bit further on how the outdoor scenario is different in terms of distance and keeping space if a weapon is involved?
  - A. So when we're outdoors, we have a consideration right out of the gate on the risk and the threat -- basically with risk in that we have a duty to protect the general public.

So when we're outdoors, that's always at the -- the backdrop of kind of what it is that we're taking for an action; that is the general duty first.

The second thing is is there a way to

stabilize it where we can create a safe space for the subject as well.

And then how do we balance that between having it be a space where we can contain the subject where we can try and begin the -- what I call the introduction or deescalation process -- the verbal deescalation process as it's known -- where we begin to try to engage the person in some way and whether or not we have to create a perimeter that we feel as though is either movable or not movable.

And it really depends on what actions we're looking at, what -- what are we faced with as to whether or not that perimeter can move.

And we have to -- again, we have to consider things like if we initially close down a small area in, say, a park or a field, but there's still people in the streets outside, we probably would have to keep that to the park or field to the best of our abilities.

If it's 2:00 in the morning and there's nobody else around and we can create some sort of moving perimeter that could go across the field a little -- like there may be different options depending on where you're at and -- and what it

is that we're allowing to go on.

1.9

2.5

But there's also -- we have to look at, again, every time we move a perimeter like that what's the risk and the threat of moving that perimeter.

And there are times where that perimeter cannot move; where we're -- we -- we have to take an action that says, We cannot allow that -- this situation to come out of this particular area.

So, again, we're specifically talking about outdoors, but even indoors we -- we may not want somebody coming outside of a house because, once they come outside, we've lost that containment.

So there's certain times that we say we really want to keep this in this location right here because we have ourselves in what -- in the ICAT training we call a winnable spot.

In other words, as police officers tactically or physically if we put ourselves in an unwinnable position, we may end up allowing harm to someone else in the community.

We may end up allowing harm to the officers involved.

And, potentially, if we put ourselves in

- an unwinnable spot, it may result in harm to the individual if that individual begins to take actions that we -- we prepared for, but we didn't take action to stop.
  - Q. And you're talking a little bit about situations that -- that are fluid; is that fair?
  - Q. And in terms of the fluidity and the mobility piece that you were just touching on, and maybe, you know, you can give more space in certain scenarios than in others, does the training address, or is there a piece of -- of the training that looks at when the officers themselves are not necessarily dictating the movements of the person in crisis, but the person in crisis is?

## A. Yeah.

Α.

Yes.

So when we -- when we're in the module on tactical operations, one of the things that we talk about is the officers working as a team and that people understand what their roles are in that team.

And that the message is -- we try to make them as clearly as we possibly can -- about whether or not in these particular circumstances

if we are progressing with an individual towards diffusing the situation, we may allow for things like greater movement.

We may allow for things because we feel as though the risk is coming down.

If we can't get anywhere, if we're stalemated, in other words, where we're not able to mitigate the risk up or down, we may maintain that -- that perimeter or maintain that situation with the officers until we can try and gain some sort of interaction with the individual and try and begin that risk down.

And then on the -- the other spectrum is if we can't even get past the introduction phase of communicating we have to look at what is the risk that we're faced with and is there a need right now to take an action?

And if there's not a need in that moment to take an action then we have time, but if the -- if the -- if the situation -- and it's constantly changing; it's constantly -- like you said, it's very fluid, but risk can go up and down, up and down.

And risk can easily just become threat or it can become voluntary compliance. It can go in

all directions.

So the goal is always voluntary compliance, that's what we would prefer. That is --

- Q. You use the term "voluntary compliance."
- A. Yes.

- Q. What do you mean by that?
- A. We would prefer that the officers use communication skills to get the individual to recognize rationally, or at least generally, their situation and their surroundings and then to recognize that what we're asking them to do they decide on their own to take those actions.

And we try and provide options when we can, so that's one of the -- the tenets of the training is to try to give the individual options that they can -- that they can work through and that option could be, you know, would you like to go to this hospital or this hospital.

That option could be would you like me to call someone for you or would you like to come with us somewhere or, I mean, it depends on the crisis situation that there's so many different options that you can layout depending what's going on in the situation.

But voluntary compliance means the person makes a decision themselves to engage with the officers and to take an action voluntarily that we can easily predict; that we know that they're going to do it because we see now that they're understanding.

We see now that there's been a -- you know, in -- in the -- I know I jump around a little bit, but it's hard to do one without the other.

In tactical integration you have to be able to introduce yourself (indiscernible).

You have to have some sort of rapport built.

And then you have to be able to influence their behavior.

And the rapport-building stage is usually where the length of the -- of the interaction goes on as you're trying to get them to trust you and you're trying to trust them that they will do what it is that they're agreeing to do.

And then once that trust has been built, that's -- like I said, that's what we aim for is voluntary compliance; that the subject will do what we're asking them to do.

Q. And, just to go back a little bit, I want to ask you more about the communication piece and this rapport-building, but you mentioned working as a team.

Given the type of scenarios that you might be presented with and the person's in crisis and this being a fluid situation, when you say "working as a team," do you mean like having a meeting and then this one goes there, or is it just kind of unspoken? Could it be a combination?

Do you -- I mean, how does the training address that?

A. It could be a combination and it depends, again, when we arrive on scene, or when we arrive at whatever it is we're at, that -- what information do we have? And then immediately assessing the risks and the threats.

If there is no risk and no threat, we might have a meeting.

We may all come together and -- and say how do we want to do this? What do we want to do?

When there is a risk, that becomes much more difficult to have those conversations.

So a lot of times it could be, Hey, can you do this? Can you do this? You go stand over there. Can you grab this? Those conversations might take place.

You may call for a supervisor so that somebody could come down in a supervisory role and begin to take those -- those -- the ability to -- to assign roles and put people in -- in winnable positions.

But there are also cases where the fluidity of the situation and the immediacy in trying to stabilize, it can be nonverbal as well.

It can be I see that somebody does something, so I'm now going to go do this because I saw this person do this; and then that person sees somebody else do something and they say, Okay, now I think I'm going to go do this, and they may begin to assign themselves their own rules and that can happen as well.

Q. You talked about the communication piece and trying to establish communication, a rapport.

What does the training teach in regards to that?

A. So, in the training, we use something called

the behavioral staircase.

And the behavioral staircase has several components to it.

I'm going to break it down into three.

But introduction with empathy, that's two of the steps, and I put that into the central component of introduction.

In the introduction phase, we have to be able to have some sort of interaction with the person in crisis in which they can recognize, or I can recognize, that we are attempting to interact with one another. That -- that's the introduction phase.

That can be as simple as, Hey, what's going on today?

That can be as simple as, I'm Officer so-and-so, what's your name?

That can be as simple as, Stop, please.

Whatever it is, you're hoping to get something back from them.

And when you do get something back from them, now we know we might be able to move into the next phase, which is the rapport phase.

So that rapport phase is when -- if we can get there that's where you would begin the --

what people describe as the verbal deescalation process. We've had an introduction.

that you can rationally accept the fact that I'm here as a police officer and I can accept the fact that you seem to understand that I'm here as a police officer and, therefore, now maybe we can have some more communication that is a little more robust and you begin to try and — instead of — we're not diagnosing the problem. We're still trying to stabilize. We're just trying to find out in general what is it that we're stepping into and we ask questions like what's going on today? Can you tell me what's up? Hey, how are you? And see what we get back as a response. That's typically the longest phase of communicating.

If -- and the rapport phase can go down as well. We can be having a great rapport with somebody, and they can suddenly decide they don't want to speak to us anymore.

And now we've lost that ability to speak with them. And, if that happens, we kind of almost have to go back to the introduction phase again. We have to, Are you still with me? Are

we still talking? Can you -- but that takes time. Those things all take time.

If the rapport phase is successful, now we move into behavioral change. That's what we're looking for.

Were we able to get any sort of behavioral change out of the individual?

Usually once we start to see behavioral change, influence is right around the corner.

And so the three tenets that I usually go is introduction, rapport, and influence, and that's sort of when we're asking our officers in the most simplistic form.

When you try to engage with the individual, what happened?

If that's unsuccessful, we can't move into the rapport phase.

And if you can't move into the rapport phase you can't get to influence.

Q. In terms of the scenarios that you train on and in terms of dealing with people in crisis, is there a part of the training that focuses on or teaches specifically mental health related reactions by a particular person, if you know what I mean, impacting their ability to

communicate?

A. So there is several things infused throughout the training.

There is a specific module on crisis recognition.

And, in that crisis recognition module, one of the things that we train our officers to recognize is what is instability?

What is that -- what does that look like and what might cause those things?

What might be happening in that person's life?

And, therefore, what types of questions or what types of things might we try and give them to engage.

And so we usually call it sticking a -- sticking something in a can, something that you can pull out when you can't think of anything else.

So, typically, what we -- what we want them to do is, after they've identified the risks and threats, if there's just a risk but there's time that we can begin to develop this conversation is -- again, not to diagnose what's going on, because you can't tell if it's -- in

just a few seconds you can't tell if it's mental illness, drugs, alcohol, is the person just going through something in their life that they've lost the ability to cope.

It's very difficult to do in just a few seconds by looking at somebody.

So we start that piece by trying to, like I said, get them to engage with us and recognize that some of the signs may be when they're in mental crisis that they become hyper-focused on you as an individual sometimes.

We call that transfer of malice in which the individual, once you arrive on scene, may no longer be thinking about what it was that they were upset about in the first place; they become upset at you.

And so we ask officers to try and be aware of that from a safety perspective of transfer of malice.

The second thing is -- is we ask them to try and recognize based off of what the person's saying.

If the person is articulating in some way that they're upset by a certain thing, we encourage the officers to try to get them to talk

about that, whatever that happens to be.

We call it looking for -- for hooks. And when you're looking for a hook you're looking for something in which you can get that individual to begin to share whatever it is that's going in their world and then build off of that particular piece so that when they finally do get to the core of what's causing the behavior now and, really, when we talk about stability, what the officers -- that's what they're hoping to get to is what's causing the behavior right now.

And then when you're talking about a crisis of this range, you're talking about behaviors that either the person, the community, friends, family -- and I say the individual themselves -- feel is disruptive.

A lot of times they don't want to feel this way, but they know that they're feeling this way.

And so you're trying to get them to recognize that they're in a crisis, and you want to try and move them passed that moment if you can.

Q. And if -- you touched upon this a little bit already, but that first phase of introduction

- before you get to rapport, if you can't get that

  hook in that you just talked about, that -- get

  past that introduction phase, where are you at in

  terms of the training?
- A. So if you can't get past the introduction

  phase and there is no risk we can keep trying.

  We can keep trying.
  - We -- at that point maybe we can call for more resources.

Maybe we can get somebody else on scene that might be able to communicate with that person.

Maybe that person doesn't feel like communicating with the police and we might -- but those resources take time.

Q. And you talked about other resources.

How -- how does the -- how does the presence of a threat or a risk, and specifically a weapon, impact whether you could bring in certain resources?

- A. It impacts it greatly.
- 22 Q. How?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A. Until we can stabilize the risk to a level that we feel comfortable that bringing in an alternative resource that might be able to

connect with this person or -- or talk with this person, we have to make sure that the person is stable enough for that resource to be brought in.

1.0

1.5

2.1

And that's -- that's a judgment call, depending on what's going on, depending on what the situation is as to -- as to even whether or not you start that process.

If we were talking about an officer who is specifically trained in mental health crisis that might already be on scene, maybe we move that person up to the front to try and communicate again because they have some more experience in doing it.

If we're talking about activating our -our social worker team, which we refer to as the
BEST team, which is a resource that we can call
in, that's -- that's available to us.

But, again, when the risk has been mitigated to the point that we feel as though it's safe to bring those individuals in, to my knowledge there is no, what I would term co-responder program in the country that...

(At 10:42:53 a.m. audio turns off.)

(At 10:47:54 a.m. audio turns on.)

(Cross-examination by Ms. Kazarosian in

progress.)

A. ...situationally dependant.

If somebody begins to harm themselves and the action is taking place, that may be appropriate, yes.

If the person has not yet done it, but is in some way saying, I think I want to cut myself, there may be an opportunity there to say, Why would you want to do that or something along those lines.

But when -- when an action or when there's an immediacy to an action, when we -- when we believe it's about to take place, there's not really an opportunity in those moments to try and continue to have those conversations because they may be ineffective.

- Q. And so part of having effective communication is to ask someone to do something, not to tell them to do something; isn't that correct?
- A. Again, it's dependant on the situation.

It depends on what the immediacy is of the action that you're trying to get them to do.

If I'm trying to get them to stop something, then a command may be the most appropriate thing to give.

If we're in a discussion and the person says something that personally I take offense to, no, that's not okay for you to yell back at them.

You want to try and -- we also want to try and keep our own emotions in check when we can when we're having those discussions.

But, in -- in the immediacy of trying to stabilize, there are situations where commands are necessary and there might be situations where commands may not be the most effective thing.

- Q. When commands are not the most effective thing, is it appropriate to attempt another way to communicate such as lowering voice, asking questions instead of yelling commands?
- A. I think if stabilization is achieved, then that would be a reasonable step to go into.
- Q. And when you say "stabilization," what do you mean?
- A. I mean that the situation is reasonably contained to the point that the risk to those on scene, the risk to the general public, the risk to the subject that they are, what I would call, in a somewhat of a holding pattern in which there is no -- no action.

There's no -- there's no act being -- or
happening immediately that an action needs to be
responded to.

If the -- if in the beginning, and the

- Q. If the -- if in the beginning, and the beginning is an important part of the situation, am I -- is that fair to say?
- A. Yeah, how you enter, yes, absolutely.

Q. Yeah. So, in the beginning, if the situation is somewhat contained, and I'll give an example of someone just sitting on the ground cutting themselves, and officers arrive and start yelling commands, is that a situation -- is that the best tactic to approach, according to your ICAT training?

MS. SPIROS: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah. This is -- what happened, and I understand you're getting that what happened on 625 Putnam Road, is that the address on the -- that is not -- I -- We're past -- I'm past that, okay. All right.

MS. KAZAROSIAN: I appreciate that, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. KAZAROSIAN: I just want to say for the record we've been eliciting information with

## LMP Court Reporting - (508) 641-5801

hypotheticals about certain situations, suicide by cop, et cetera, what happens in the beginning; we're starting to talk about how a situation's created and I would ask be able to inquire of that.

THE COURT: Well, but he did testify that

THE COURT: Well, but he did testify that it would depend.

So move on to another area.

MS. KAZAROSIAN: Thank you, your Honor.

## BY MS. KAZAROSIAN:

- Q. Is it a fair statement to say if something happens in the beginning that may not be the best tactic that could because a problem to accelerate and perhaps get into a situation where people are then put in danger, whether it's the suspect, whether it's the public at large, or whether it's the officers?
- A. So, I apologize, can you just re -- restate it again just so I understand what...
- Q. If we have a situation where, in the beginning, decisions are made that may perhaps escalate a situation, I'll put it that way -- A. Okay.

- Q. -- does that put potentially the -- the public or the officer or the suspect in danger going forward?
  - A. If the situation is relatively stable and it gets escalated by any of the parties on scene then, no, it creates instability. And that's not what we want.
  - Q. Now, in your ICAT training you had discussed containment, and -- and you talked about outside containment.

And when you actually gave some examples of containment indoors you said, for example, if they're in a bathroom, shut the door, no one goes in, or, if they're in a room, you contain in the room no one goes in, correct?

16 A. Correct.

- Q. Is it a better -- does I -- what does ICAT train you about containment outside in a fenced-in area we'll say where there is another officer, individual in that area?
- A. So I -- I actually want to be clear. We at ICAT, we make sort of a -- a joke that the East Coast and the West Coast can't even agree what a low-ready position is when you're holding your firearm.

We don't get into specific tactics. So as far as specifically how we perimeter that and all that, we don't talk about that.

But we do say "containment" means are we able to create a type of environment when we're outdoors in which we can effectively stabilize the situation, keeping everyone in a winnable spot, meaning the -- the officers and the general public, because we're outdoors now -- and this is where it makes the difference between the indoor thing -- for the -- for the officers we may be able to stay at the end of a hallway while somebody's indoor contained because they'd still have to come out, come through that hallway, we still have -- once we get outside, there's so many variables that take place.

We might have neighbors that suddenly arrive.

We may have somebody -- we may -- the presence of police may have attracted people.

So, when we're talking about containment, a removable containment -- removable containment is only when specific situations would allow for removable containment.

Otherwise, a contained is when the police

say, We have this situation in a relatively
stable spot in which we don't believe that the
individual at this moment is either posing an
immediate threat to the officers or an immediate
threat to the community.

And maybe we might be able to begin having a communication with that person if that allows.

- Q. So a winnable situation meaning containing in any area where there's no one else that potentially is at risk?
- 11 A. Correct.

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

- Q. And "containing" meaning there's really no other way out other than the area that's protected by officers?
- 15 A. That's the hope, yes.
  - Q. And if there's an individual in the contained area, does -- is that a good tactic to force the person into that area?
  - A. Again, it -- it depends on the level of risk.

It depends on the situation that you're trying to stabilize.

So, generally, if you can keep good containment and maintain a reasonable distance from the person, that's -- that's good

containment.

You don't want to enclose to the point that you begin to cut down on your amount of time to react to anything that might happen; but, on the other side of things, you also have to put yourself in a position to begin effective communication.

So I can't stand out in front -- if you're talking about a contained yard, which I believe you are -- if I'm standing out in front of the house and I can't even see the person, I can't begin communication.

So at some point to move to where we can operate and attempt to, whatever it is that we're going to attempt to do in this situation to try to stabilize it, we do sometimes have to set up containment in a -- in a variety of ways.

And so if you're asking about a person walking into the contained area in -- in a general sort of statement, I'm not really sure what they would be walking into that area for but -- because containment is -- is -- is the containment of the area, so...

- Q. Right.
- 25 A. Okay.

1 Q. I think maybe I wasn't clear. 2 What I meant was having a person potentially be contained in the yard, knowing 3 4 that there are -- is a police officer back there 5 in the yard already, is that potentially a nonwinnable situation because that officer may be 6 7 at risk? A. So --8 9 MS. SPIROS: Objection. 10 Your Honor, I just think we're getting into this very specific case related --11 12 THE COURT: Yeah. MS. SPIROS: -- which I tried to stay 13 14 away from. THE COURT: I'm going to let -- I'm going 15 16 to let Officer Deane answer this question, okay. 17 MS. SPIROS: Okav. 18 THE COURT: And then we're going to move 19 on. So the fact that an officer may find 20 Α. 21 themselves in a position, I don't think would reasonably dictate whether or not we'd -- we'd 22 continue to step up containment. 23 24 I think once we could contain, we'd be

looking to move that officer into a different

25

position if they were at a position of risk maybe, but -- but I -- I don't -- I don't -- there would be -- if I found myself in a situation, it still doesn't mean that we can't contain everything and try and move into -- and relocate within that perimeter, if we have to, but it also it depends on what is going on in that actual situation.

There are plenty of situations in which it is perfectly acceptable for an officer to enter that area of containment if they believe they're going to engage the individual in conversation and they feel as though the risk isn't there to them -- we call it a reactionary gap -- but if they feel as though they can move into a position where they can react maybe -- maybe they would move into that position.

But there's no -- all we're asking in ICAT is recognize that wherever you go within a -- within a situation, if you feel as though you're entering into a spot in which it's becoming unwinnable, something that you can't recover from, that we want you to leave that area.

We want you to -- to -- to relocate, or we

- want you to try and do something to not continue
  to be in that area if possible.
- Q. And it's important that -- and ICAT teaches
  this -- not to rush into situations, unless
  immediate action is required, correct?
- 6 A. Right.

19

20

21

22

If immediate action is required, I believe that's page 29 on the manual.

- Q. And -- well, I got 36, but that's okay.
- 10 A. On the new one -- on the new one it's on
- 11 34 to 38. That's all contained in there.
- 12 Q. It's okay.
- 13 A. I have the 2016, and I have the 2023.
- But on the 2023 manual it's on pages 34 to

  38, I believe, and on the new one it says it

  on -- I'm sorry, on the 2016 one it says it on

  page 29 under the -- under the Assessing Risks

  and Threats section.
  - Q. Now, does it -- does the ICAT training teach about if you're in a contained area how to create distance or create -- or use barriers or -- or use time in your favor?
- A. It talks about why you would attempt to do those things, yes.
- 25 Q. And why would you?

A. Because any type of a barrier or any type of thing that you can put between you and someone else does necessarily -- it -- it can create additional time, even if that's just a couple of seconds.

But there are also many situations, even scenarios that we run with the ICAT people, where we have to train our individuals if there are barriers to try to use them, and if there are not barriers how do we set -- how do we se tup something like that, so -- and -- and barriers are -- again, they're just that, they're not -- there's a distinct -- a barrier could be a mailbox; it could be a signpost; it could be something that may just give you an extra second, but it's not designed to stop an action basically.

A barrier is just something to kind of set a distance maybe or something like that.

- Q. It could create time to allow --
- A. Correct. Yep.

- Q. And what -- how does repositioning come
  into play when you're in some kind of confined
  area?
  - A. So if there's stabilization -- so oftentimes

we may have a subject in crisis who has been stabilized, and now maybe we want to move them out of an area where everybody's staring at them and watching them, so we may move that containment to somewhere else where they might feel a little more relaxed or something along those lines.

But you have to -- again, it's -- it's -- the keyword is "stabilization."

It has to be a stable environment before we can start moving perimeters, unless the area that we're in, or the place that you may be located, when this is all occurring allows for some movable perimeter.

So I used the example before if I'm in a parking lot at Gillette stadium, and there's absolutely nobody around, and we can form some sort of containment bubble around that person, and that bubble can move, we might be able to do that.

But if we're in Gillette stadium in the parking lot and people are coming in to park their cars, we may not be able to move.

So there's a lot of factors that come into play when we're talking about -- remember, it's

the three entities of sanctity of human life that
we're talking about -- it's the general public,
us, and the subject.

And so when we're in -- when we're trying to perimeter things out, we're trying to create a safe environment for everyone to operate.

- Q. What about officer repositioning, how does that come into play?
- A. (No audible response.)

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. If an officer is in the situation faced with a suspect that they feel they're threatened, being threatened by, what about officer
- repositioning, how does that come into play?
- A. If it's possible. If it's possible.
- Q. And that would include moving out of the way, backing up --
- A. Well, moving out of the way I wouldn't say
  because, if you're trying to create a perimeter,
  you may not have the ability to move out of the
  way.
- Q. What do you mean when you say "create a perimeter," it's one officer? I mean --
- 23 A. You just --
- Q. I'm talking about an officer maybe --
- 25 A. Are we talking about a single officer --

- l Q. Yes.
- 2 A. -- or a perimeter?
- Q. No, I am talking about --
- 4 A. No.
- 5 | Q. -- I wasn't talking about perimeter at all,
- 6 but I appreciate the information.
- 7 A. Okay.
- Q. I'm talking about repositioning of an officer
- 9 who may feel threatened.
- 10 A. I'm sorry, I thought you were talking about
- 11 repositioning a perimeter.
- 12 Q. No.
- 13 A. I apologize, okay.
- 14 Q. I was talking about repositioning an
- officer -- and I should have made that clear?
- 16 THE COURT: Attorney Kazarosian, I'm
- 17 sorry.
- MS. KAZAROSIAN: Um-hum.
- 19 THE COURT: And I -- can you -- how much
- 20 | farther do you -- how much more do you have with
- 21 this witness?
- MS. KAZAROSIAN: I don't think much more.
- THE COURT: All right. Can we move on to
- 24 another -- because --
- MS. KAZAROSIAN: Could I get this

question asked -- answered?

THE COURT: No, let's move on.

I didn't like the question, so move on.

MS. KAZAROSIAN: I have no further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Officer.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.

(Witness excused.)

THE COURT: And before you call your next witness, I just want to put something on the record because I think during one of the Zoom hearings I think I did indicate, you know, I would allow other attorneys to -- to ask questions that would be beneficial to my role.

And I just want to reiterate what the role of the judge who's conducting the inquest is and it's -- I have to make -- make a finding whether any crime -- whether it be intentional or a crime that involves reckless and wanton conduct, a criminal negligence standard has occurred, as a result of the facts as I find them.

One of the things I'm not going to be

involved in, and I think everybody knows what I'm getting at, is civil liability.

That is not the purview of this inquest.

And I am generous with all counsels, I
think -- people may disagree with me -- some of
which is because I want to hear it; I think it
might be relevant in issuing my final report,
but, going forward, I think because I'm
looking -- just looking at who the witnesses
will be, they're not the, quote, percipient
people to the incident that took place on January
4th at 59 Chestnut Street.

I don't want this to turn into a -- something that it's not, and I'll just leave that.

So keep that in mind because I think things are going to be a little tighter going forward, all right.

MS. KAZAROSIAN: Your Honor, could I just ask --

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. KAZAROSIAN: -- we have another expert coming in DiChiara --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. KAZAROSIAN: -- not a percipient

1	witness.
2	THE COURT: Yeah.
3	MS. KAZAROSIAN: We've had at least ten
4	nonpercipient witnesses
5	THE COURT: Yeah.
6	MS. KAZAROSIAN: that I have been
7	limiting my question on.
8	THE COURT: Yeah.
9	MS. KAZAROSIAN: They've talked about
10	training
11	THE COURT: Yeah.
12	MS. KAZAROSIAN: and I have not been
13	allowed to ask
14	THE COURT: Yeah.
15	MS. KAZAROSIAN: It's nothing to do with
16	civil liability.
17	THE COURT: No.
18	MS. KAZAROSIAN: So I am concerned about
19	my ability to represent my client.
20	THE COURT: I'm going to be very specific
21	then.
22	Training or no training, a person
23	lost their life in the backyard of 59 Chestnut
24	Street.
25	The facts on how that person came to his

death is the only thing.

Whether there's training, not training, violate the training, outside ICAT, to me, it's not really relevant to what happened that day.

It's what the act of what the decedent was doing, what the officers were doing, and what the person who fired those rounds was doing, that's it.

MS. KAZAROSIAN: Then I think we're doing.

I mean, I appreciate that, your Honor.

THE COURT: No. No.

MS. KAZAROSIAN: We've had many, many witnesses that don't talk about that.

THE COURT: No. No.

But it all kind of goes into a picture for me because I do have to make these specific findings.

And I didn't -- I think we have DiChiara on the use of force. I think you can ask some questions on that.

The State Crime Lab, I think that's pretty straightforward for both Lieutenant Bonasoro and Stephanie Devlin.

And Detective Miceli, who's involved in

the investigation.

But I -- I just don't want this to turn into something that it's not, and that's one of the issues with inquests.

And I'm just going to make this general statement that it really is turned into something that I don't think the statute wanted it to be.

But I think the person who's the so-called target, I don't want to use that term, but target, he has a right to counsel, and that counsel may want to put in certain evidence.

The family of the decedent certainly has a right to be here and certainly has a right to have representation, legal representation.

And if there's evidence that that person wants to put forth as it relates to those specific criteria for this inquest, I want to hear it, so I just want to lay that all out.

And, like I said yesterday, the district attorney has a -- a strange role in this because they're not the prosecutor and they actually --

MS. KAZAROSIAN: And they're not the advocate.

THE COURT: They're not the advocate. They are actually my arm.

Under the statute, I rely on them and I can give them direction as to where I want this to go or what evidence or what evidence I don't want to hear.

So that -- I don't want to make this individual towards any of the attorneys because you all have your roles and I appreciate everything you guys are doing here because you are representing your -- you're representing Mr. McMahon.

You're representing the family of Faisal.

The prosecutor actually isn't doing anything except getting me the evidence, so...

MS. KAZAROSIAN: Well, could I just -- I do appreciate what you said; and I actually took quite to heart what you said yesterday when you said we're all in the same position, but I have to tell you I don't feel that I'm in the same position.

THE COURT: No.

MS. KAZAROSIAN: And I feel like

my -- and I appreciate you are the one that runs

this. And I know that, I mean as any judge

would, but in an inquest, it's even a more

different situation.

1	THE COURT: I said that yesterday, too.
2	I'm in a very
3	MS. KAZAROSIAN: You are.
4	THE COURT: different situation than
5	I'd
6	MS. KAZAROSIAN: Yes.
7	THE COURT: rather be in.
8	MS. KAZAROSIAN: I am too.
9	THE COURT: We all are.
10	MS. KAZAROSIAN: I don't want to do this.
11	But I do want to say, your Honor
12	THE COURT: Yeah.
13	MS. KAZAROSIAN: this is the third
14	day.
15	THE COURT: Yeah.
16	MS. KAZAROSIAN: We've had a lot of
17	witnesses
18	THE COURT: Yeah.
19	MS. KAZAROSIAN: that have had nothing
20	to do with the incident, nothing to the do with
21	the incident.
22	THE COURT: Except they had something to
23	do with how I'm going to look at it.
24	MS. KAZAROSIAN: I understand.
25	And I would like to have the opportunity

1	to give you something to look at that you may not
2	have thought of.
3	I honestly have to tell you I feel as if
4	I'm dealing with two people advocating, and I'm
5	here trying to get my questions in and having to
6	understand what's happening.
7	This isn't civil liability. If there
8	is
9	THE COURT: I've seen Attorney Spiros
10	advocate, and she's not advocating during these
11	last three days.
12	MS. KAZAROSIAN: I'm not saying she
13	THE COURT: I've seen Attorney Evans
14	advocate; she's not advocating.
15	I've seen Attorney Anderson advocate and
16	I've held him back a little back too; not as much
17	as you, I'll give you that because
18	MS. KAZAROSIAN: I just want to make sure
19	it's understood on the record this is the way I
20	feel.
21	I understand everyone has
22	THE COURT: I know.
23	MS. KAZAROSIAN: I mean no disrespect at
24	all.
25	THE COURT: No. No. And I don't

think --1 2 MS. KAZAROSIAN: And I have to keep 3 trying. THE COURT: Counsel, I -- I've been ADA 4 5 Spiros's and Evans's shoes. I've been in Attorney Anderson's shoes. 6 7 Believe it or not, I've been in your 8 shoes, not at an inquest. 9 MS. KAZAROSIAN: These shoes? THE COURT: Well, not those particular 10 11 shoes. 12 But -- but I know exactly what the role 13 is. I appreciate the -- the difficulty this is. 14 This is -- you know, except for motor vehicle homicide this is the most serious case 15 I've had as a district court judge. 16 MS. KAZAROSIAN: And death has occurred, 17 18 yeah. 19 THE COURT: And I -- my heart goes out to the family. My heart goes out to everybody 20 21 involved in this situation because it's a -- it's 22 tragic. 23 Tragedy, though, I'm left with -- I'll make the decision -- as I said before, whatever I 24 25 do, whatever my report says, because I make no

1	legal conclusions or make no legal
2	recommendations, always rests with the statutory
3	authority by the district attorney.
4	This is just a vehicle to get all the
5	relevant information out as it relates to the
6	crime, whether it's intentional or wanton and
7	reckless. That's all I'm saying. That's what I
8	want the focus to be.
9	If you want to ask a question go ahead,
10	if you okay. I might
11	MS. KAZAROSIAN: It's too late now.
12	THE COURT: I might stop you.
13	MS. KAZAROSIAN: I know. I'm sure you
14	will.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	MS. KAZAROSIAN: All right. I will
17	keep I understand, and I mean it with no
18	disrespect.
19	Thank you, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: No, I understand.
21	MS. EVANS: May we have a five-minute
22	bathroom break?
23	THE COURT: Yes, we may.
24	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
25	THE COURT OFFICER: Court.

7	All rise, please.
2	You may be seated.
3	(At 11:11 a.m. court recesses.)
4	(At 11:22 a.m. court resumes.)
5	THE COURT OFFICER: Court. All rise,
6	please.
7	Court is now back in session.
8	You may be seated.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Spiros.
10	MS. SPIROS: Michael Bonasoro.
11	THE COURT OFFICER: Bonasoro.
12	MS. SPIROS: Thank you.
13	THE COURT OFFICER: You're welcome.
14	THE CLERK: If you can raise your right
15	hand.
16	Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole
17	truth, and nothing but the truth under the pains
18	and penalties of perjury?
19	MR. BONASORO: I do.
20	MICHAEL BONASORO, SWORN
21	THE CLERK: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Good morning, Lieutenant.
23	THE WITNESS: Good morning, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Lieutenant, I'm sure you're
25	aware there's probably there's a sequestration

- order; but, unlike a regular trial because of the nature of these proceedings, it's a closed hearing and it's got to remain closed for several days, if not several weeks.
  - So I'm just going to ask you not to discuss your testimony here today with anybody until this matter becomes public, okay?

THE WITNESS: Understood.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Lieutenant.

Okay. Attorney Spiros.

MS. SPIROS: Thank you.

## EXAMINATION

13 BY MS. SPIROS:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 14 Q. Good morning, sir.
- 15 A. Good morning.
- 16 \ Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the
- 17 | Court, spelling both your first and last name to
- 18 the record?
- 19 A. My name is Michael Bonasoro.
- 20 First is M-I-C-H-A-E-L. Last is
- 21 B-O-N-A-S-O-R-O.
- 22 | Q. And how are you employed?
- 23 A. I work for the Mass. State Police, currently
- 24 assigned to the firearms identification section.
- 25 Q. What's your rank?

1 A. Lieutenant.

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And are you supervisor for that unit?
- 3 A. I am supervisor/training officer.
- Q. Could you describe the -- any other roles you might have had in law enforcement?
- A. Law enforcement? I worked for the sheriff's department previously.

Prior to that I worked for the U.S. Army for eight years.

- Q. And in terms of the current role that you have, what is it that your unit does for the State Police?
- A. So several things that we do.

We respond to crime scenes, recover the evidence, preserve the evidence, transport it back, assign it case numbers. We track it, everything gets an item number, log it into what's called the LIMS database.

We do (indiscernible), comparisons, test-fires, destroy weapons, attend autopsies.

- Q. Have you had some specialized training over the years to perform the duties that you currently have?
- 24 A. I have.

So when you get into the -- the unit, you

1 start off with a two-year apprenticeship program.

You work with other senior ballisticians.

You attend armorer courses.

You work hand-in-hand with other ballisticians, do microscope work, seeing how firearms actually put together.

You're do factory tours, armorer courses, different types of trainings you consistently do each year to better your skills.

- Q. And so currently, as the supervisor for this unit, how many people do you supervise?
- 12 A. Currently 17.
- 13 | O. Seventeen.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- I want to draw your attention, sir, now to

  January 4th of 2023.
- Were you asked to respond to a particular location on that date?
- 18 | A. I was.
- 19 Q. And was that 59 Chestnut in Cambridge?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And who do you recall -- did you respond by
- 22 yourself or with others?
- 23 A. Responded with Trooper Blake Verdon
- 24 (phonetic) as well as Trooper Jason Boselay
- 25 (phonetic).

- Q. And what -- when you got there what were you tasked with doing?
- A. We had to process the scene, so diagram the scene, work with Crime Scene Services in conjunction; look in to see what type of evidence related to ballistic could be recovered and

Once Crime Scene Services was done processing, we recover the evidence, transport it back to the lab and after that I did a markup comparison on the evidence.

- Q. And, specific to this scene, you just mentioned that you did some diagraming; is that correct?
- 15 A. I did.

documented.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q. I'm going to just hold this up and see if you can tell.

18 Is this your diagram of the scene?

- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Did it focus on the particular evidence that
  you were able to locate as it regards to
  ballistic evidence?
- 23 A. Yes.

It focused on the spent discharge casings.

25 Q. Thank you.

MS. SPIROS: I'd offer this as the next 1 2 exhibit. 3 THE COURT: I think we're at 41? THE CLERK: Forty-one. 4 THE COURT: Forty-one. 5 (Exhibit No. 41, Diagram, was received 6 7 into evidence.) 8 MS. SPIROS: Your Honor, may I have 9 permission to publish the diagram? 10 THE COURT: You may. BY MS. SPIROS: 11 12 Sir, you can see the diagram? Q. 13 Α. I can see it, yeah. It's a little far away. Okay. But, just generally speaking, looking 14 Q. 15 at top of the diagram here, there appear to be some numbers and some boxes here. 16 17 And this would be, again, at the top of 18 the diagram. 19 What are those indicating there? 20 The discharged cartridge casings. Α. 21 The discharged cartridge casings? 0. 22 Α. Yes. Okay. And then over here we have another one 23 Q. and then two over here, what is that indicating? 24 25 Those are the nonlethal rounds that were Α.

- 1 fired.
- 2 Q. Okay. And so I want to ask you, if I can,
- 3 sir, when you went about diagraming the scene and
- 4 responding there what is it that you observed
- 5 related to the ballistics evidence that was
- 6 located there?
- 7 A. All the discharge casings were all in one
- 8 particular grouping showing that they're all
- 9 fired by this -- fired at the same location.
- 10 Q. And how many were located in that particular
- 11 area in terms of discharge casings?
- 12 A. There was six discharged cases.
- 13 Q. When you use the term "discharge casings,"
- 14 | what do you mean?
- 15 A. So once a live cartridge is fired, what's
- 16 left over is the discharge casings consistent of
- 17 the case -- the casing itself and the primer.
- So what is expelled is the powder and the
- 19 projectile.
- 20 Q. And the -- the live cartridge, as you
- 21 referred to, is that commonly known as a bullet
- or how would -- could you describe what the live
- 23 | cartridge contains?
- 24 A. It could be known as a bullet or ammunition,
- 25 so it has all the components -- it has the

- 1 cartridge casings, which holds everything
- 2 together, the powder, the primer, and the
- 3 projectile all intact.
- 4 Q. And what type of cartridge casings were
- 5 | located in that kind of clustered area there?
- 6 A. 9mm.
- 7 Q. Okay. And the 9mm relates to the type of
- 8 firearm that discharged those cartridges; is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And at the scene were you also able to
- 12 retrieve or recover a particular firearm?
- 13 | A. I was.
- 14 Q. What type of firearm was that?
- 15 A. It's a 9mm Sig Sauer, Model P320
- 16 semiautomatic pistol.
- 17 Q. Okay. I'm going to just display what's been
- 18 marked as 34.
- 19 Sir, do you recognize this?
- 20 A. I do.
- 21 Q. Is this the firearm that you recovered from
- 22 | 59 Chestnut Street?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And, if you could tell us, sir, were you --
- 25 did you provide myself at my request a diagram of

- how of a 9mm in this particular Sig Sauer gun and the components of the parts of it?
- 3 A. I did.
- Q. I'm just going to show you the diagram, is
- 5 this the diagram you provided to me?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- MS. SPIROS: I'd offer this as the next exhibit.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. That's 42.
- 11 (Exhibit No. 42, Diagram, was received
- 12 into evidence.)
- 13 BY MS. SPIROS:
- Q. Before we get into your analysis of the firearm itself I'm going to ask you -- if I can, with the Court's permission to publish this.
- 17 THE COURT: Sure.
- 18 Q. And then, I know it's a little bit difficult
- 19 to see, but could you walk us through, if you
- 20 can, sir -- if you could walk us through the
- 21 parts of the firearm as depicted on this diagram?
- 22 A. I can't see the numbers. Want me to walk up
- 23 there.
- 24 Q. If the Court will allow, sure.
- THE COURT: Yeah. Sure.

1 Yeah, you can, sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Right here marked as No. 2 is the slide slip. It holds the firing pin and everything, so that's what goes back and forth.

No. 3 is -- it's called the barrel. The barrel sits inside the slide. That's where the live cartridge is held.

Obviously, the trigger here.

We have the grip, which houses the magazine.

The sights. This is the -- the takedown lever, the different components.

So essentially you would load the magazine, rack the slide -- goes back; goes forward, pushes the live cartridge, strips it from the magazine, pushes it into the chamber, which is right before where the barrel starts, now you have what's called a locked breech, and now you can fire the -- the weapon.

Q. Thank you. You can resume the stand.

You mentioned the -- the part of the gun, No. 10, the trigger area.

How does this gun -- how does the trigger work on this gun?

A. So you depress the trigger, and it has a

- 1 Stryker fire system, which cocks the -- the
- 2 firing pin and sends it forward at the same
- 3 time.
- 4 Q. And, in terms of the amount of pressure
- 5 that's required to pull the trigger on this gun,
- 6 are you able to -- to give any information about
- 7 that?
- 8 A. So it's approximately 5 to 7 pounds to fire
- 9 | each live round.
- 10 Q. And in this type of firearm for each bullet
- 11 to come out, is that a separate trigger pull?
- 12 A. It is.
- 13 Q. Okay. The magazine that you talked about,
- 14 are you familiar with, sir, how many live
- 15 | cartridges are in a fully loaded magazine of this
- 16 | nature?
- 17 A. It depends on the magazine. So some
- 18 | magazines can hold upwards of 30; and,
- 19 | particularly with this magazine, I believe it
- 20 holded -- it held 17 live cartridges.
- 21 Q. And, sir, I want to show you a photograph and
- 22 ask you if you recognize this to be the magazine
- 23 related to this particular firearm.
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And the 17 is noted here; is that correct?

1 A. Correct. 2 MS. SPIROS: I'd offer this as the next exhibit. 3 THE COURT: All right. That will be 4 5 Exhibit 43. 6 (Exhibit No. 43, Photograph, was received 7 into evidence.) THE CLERK: Forty-three? 8 THE COURT: Forty-three, yeah, sorry. 9 10 MS. SPIROS: With the Court's permission, 11 may I publish 43? BY MS. SPIROS: 12 Sir, part of your goal in this case, I 13 believe you mentioned you took the firearm back 14 15 to the lab. Before you took it to the lab did you do 16 anything with it at the scene -- at the scene? 17 So I inventoried what was being recovered. 18 So I made the firearm safe and empty; noted there 19 20 was one live cartridge in the chamber. There was 21 11 cartridges in the magazine. I downloaded them so Crime Scene Services 22 could photo them on scene. 23

many live cartridges were left in total in -- in

And so were you able to tell how many -- how

24

25

Q.

- 1 | the magazine and the gun?
- 2 A. So there was 11 in the magazine; one in the chamber.
- Q. And so in terms of after you made it safe and you -- you unloaded it, what did you do with it?
- 6 A. Secured into coin envelopes the loose rounds,
- 7 put it in a firearms box, described the firearms
- 8 box with the LIMS number, transported it back to
- 9 the lab for inventory into the LIMS system.
- 10 \ Q. And how about the less-lethal round that you
- 11 talked about, did you do anything in regards to
- 12 that on the scene?
- 13 A. I did not. It was recovered by Cambridge PD.
- 14 Q. Thank you.
- Once you got back to the lab, could you briefly describe the analysis you did in this
- 17 | case?
- A. So I got back to the lab. I document
  everything; assigned everything an item number.
- The firearm was assigned at 1-1.
- 21 The magazine 1-2.
- The 11 live cartridges were assigned 1-3.
- 23 And then the live cartridge from the
- 24 chamber 1-4.
- 25 And then 1-5 through 1-10 were assigned

- 1 based on the 9mm discharge casings that were
- 2 recovered.
- Q. And the live cartridges that were located by
- 4 | you inside the firearm and the ones -- the
- 5 discharge cartridges on the ground, were those
- 6 the same types of ammunition?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. Did you later receive some additional
- 9 | spent projectile material?
- 10 A. I did.
- 11 | O. From whom?
- 12 A. The Medical Examiner's Office.
- 13 Q. And that was two?
- 14 A. Two -- two spent projectiles, yes.
- 15 Q. In terms of your analysis of the firearms --
- 16 the firearm itself back at the lab, what is it
- 17 that you did in regards to determining whether
- 18 that was a working firearm or -- or your analysis
- 19 in general on that?
- 20 A. So I test-fired with the live cartridges that
- 21 | were submitted; loaded them into the magazine,
- 22 test-fired it.
- 23 Used those test-fires to compare against
- 24 the discharge casings and projectiles that were
- 25 recovered.

Q. And what did you conclude in terms of the 1 2 comparison that you just mentioned? 3 So to a reasonable degree of ballistic 4 certainty, the discharge casings, as well as the 5 projectiles, were fired from that 9mm Sig Sauer P320 pistol. 6 7 Q. And the Sig Sauer is that a model that is 8 popular with law enforcement? 9 It is. Α. 10 MS. SPIROS: If I could have just one 11 moment, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Sure. 13 MS. SPIROS: I have nothing further for 14 this witness. 15 THE COURT: All right. Attorney 16 Anderson, I just want to ask the Lieutenant a 17 question. So there were 11 live rounds left in the 18 19 magazine? 20 THE WITNESS: Correct. 21 THE COURT: One in the chamber of the 22 firearm? 23 THE WITNESS: Correct.

recovered at the scene?

THE COURT: And six spent shell casings

24

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 THE COURT: So that's 18, so one would have been in the chamber and then the 17 in the 3 4 magazine; is that --5 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. 6 7 THE WITNESS: So everybody tops off, so 8 the magazines holds seven. 9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 10 Attorney Anderson. 11 EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. ANDERSON: 13 Just briefly, Lieutenant Bonasoro. 14 My name is Ken Anderson. I represent 15 Officer Liam McMahon in this matter. 16 When you test-fired this weapon once --17 once it discharges the bullet, the shell casing 18 is ejected, correct? 19 Α. Correct. 20 Q. And did it eject to the -- to the left, to 21 the right, do you recall which way the Sig Sauer 22 ejected those shell casings? 23 Α. The ejection port's to the right. 24 Okay. And if someone were to fire five shots Q.

standing in the same location, all five shell

- casings would come back to the right; is that correct?
- A. That's where they're initially were going to be directed towards, but if they hit something
- 5 then they'll go somewhere else.
- Q. Okay. And they're not all going to fall in exactly the same spot, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. There would be some type of radius where they would fall?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And by the time you got there and diagramed them, you had no idea how many people had come through that area in terms of trying to take a machete away from an individual, render medical treatments, ambulance personnel who would come through the area and may have kicked those shell casings?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. So the location that you found them in may give a general area --
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. -- it may help us determine where they were shot from generally, but you can't specifically state where that person was standing?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And you can't state if the person was moving
- 3 as they were discharging that weapon?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Okay.

- 6 MR. ANDERSON: I have nothing else.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Kazarosian.
- MS. KAZAROSIAN: Thank you, your Honor.

## EXAMINATION

- 10 BY MS. KAZAROSIAN:
- 11 Q. Lieutenant Bonasoro, I'm Marsha Kazarosian.
- 12 Good morning.
- 13 A. Good morning.
- 14 | Q. I represent the family of Mr. Faisal.
- 15 Actually just one or two questions.
- 16 When -- when this Sig Sauer -- when --
- 17 when it's been shot once, does it automatically
- then reload and it's ready for the next round to
- 19 be shot?
- 20 A. It does.
- 21 Q. Okay. That's really my only question.
- 22 Thank you.
- 23 THE COURT: All right.
- 24 Attorney Spiros, could you put Exhibit 41
- 25 up on the screen.

1	MS. SPIROS: Forty-one?
2	THE COURT: Forty-one, yeah.
3	MS. SPIROS: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: Thanks.
5	And, Lieutenant, on the upper part of
6	Exhibit 41 if you need to go go closer
7	please do those are the numbers that
8	correspond with the areas where you recovered the
9	six spent shell casings?
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
11	So those are the placard numbers assigned
12	by Crime Scene Services.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So those are the
14	placard numbers that were already there?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And and what as
17	best you can based on your memory where those
18	were found, how far behind where they were found
19	was the end of the yard, if you could remember?
20	THE WITNESS: End of the yard?
21	THE COURT: Yeah.
22	THE WITNESS: So the yard went probably
23	another 20 feet back
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	THE WITNESS: approximately.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 1 2 MR. ANDERSON: Could I just ask a 3 follow-up question? THE COURT: Sure. 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. ANDERSON: 6 7 That 20 feet, that's just your guess from 8 today, correct? A. Yes. 9 Q. The last time you were in that yard was back 10 11 on January 4th? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. You didn't take any measurements back then? 14 A. No. Q. Have you reviewed any photographs of the yard 15 since then? 16 17 A. No. 18 Q. Okay. MR. ANDERSON: I have nothing else. 19 THE COURT: Anyone else? 20 MS. SPIROS: I have nothing. 21 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, 23 Lieutenant. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 24 25 (Witness excused.)

1	MS. KAZAROSIAN: Your Honor, before the
2	next witness is called, could I just make a I
3	would like I know it's going to be Ernesto
4	Colon.
5	I'm just wondering what the relevance of
6	his testimony is.
7	MS. SPIROS: He's the Army recruiter.
8	He interacted with Mr. Faisal up until
9	the a few times up until the night before when
10	he received a text from him.
11	He is the one who understood Mr. Faisal
12	to be exhibiting paranoid behavior and wanting to
13	join the Army.
14	THE COURT: And we have his statement as
15	well as those texts, right?
16	MS. EVANS: Yes.
17	MS. SPIROS: Yes.
18	He did appear today.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	MS. SPIROS: I'm not trying to be
21	difficult
22	THE COURT: No. No.
23	MS. SPIROS: but counsel has had the
24	witness list for weeks.
25	THE COURT: Well, okay.

1	MS. KAZAROSIAN: Well, I also just got a
2	specific direction on what where this is
3	going.
4	THE COURT: Yeah, no
5	MS. KAZAROSIAN: And what the judge wants
6	to hear.
7	THE COURT: But but, as I said, that
8	is he's a relevant participant in what what
9	occurred that day, so Attorney Spiros.
10	MS. SPIROS: I'll be as brief as
11	possible.
12	THE COURT: No. No. No, I'm not rushing
13	anybody either.
14	MS. SPIROS: Ernesto Colon.
15	THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
16	Do you swear to tell the Court the truth
17	the, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth
18	under the pains and penalties of perjury?
19	MR. COLON: Yes.
20	ERNESTO COLON, SWORN
21	THE CLERK: Thank you.
22	You can have a seat.
23	THE COURT: All right. Good morning,
24	Mr. Colon.
25	THE WITNESS: Good morning.

THE COURT: Mr. Colon, I just -- I'm 1 going to instruct you like I'm instructing all 2 the witnesses who have appeared here today and 3 4 the previous days. There's a sequestration order, which 5 means you're not to discuss your testimony with 6 7 anybody, and that sequestration order is going to 8 continue until this matter becomes public at a future date. 9 So I'm just going to ask you not to 10 discuss what you testify here today with anybody 11 until this matter becomes public because it's a 12 13 closed hearing. Okay? THE WITNESS: Understood. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Colon. 15 Attorney Ms. Spiros. 16 17 MS. SPIROS: Thank you. EXAMINATION 18 BY MS. SPIROS: 19 Good morning, sir. 20 Q. Good morning. Α. 21 Could you please tell the Judge what your 22 Q. name is and spell your first and last name for 23

My name is Ernesto Colon Rivera.

the record?

24

- 1 E-R-N-E-S-T-O, C-O-L-O-N, R-I-V-E-R-A.
- 2 Q. How are you employed, sir?
- 3 A. U.S. Army recruiter.
- 4 Q. How long have you been with the Army?
- 5 A. Sixteen years.
- 6 Q. What do you do as a recruiter?
- 7 A. I talk to civilians about the different
- 8 options that the military has for active duty and
- 9 reserves.
- 10 Q. And where are you specifically located out
- 11 of?
- 12 A. I'm located in Malden recruiting station.
- 13 Q. Okay. What's the address for that?
- 14 A. 325 Main Street, Malden, MA.
- 15 Q. I want to draw your attention to December of
- 16 2022 and specifically December 12th of 2022.
- Did you happen to become introduced to a
- 18 person named Sayed Faisal?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And how did you become introduced to
- 21 Mr. Faisal?
- 22 A. I had an applicant named Penday (phonetic).
- 23 He introduced me to him as a referral.
- 24 Q. And it was an applicant that you were already
- 25 working with?

- 1 A. Um-hum.
- 2 Q. And was -- did you come to learn the nature
- of the relationship between Mr. Penday and
- 4 Mr. Faisal?
- 5 A. Apparently they was like best friends.
- 6 Q. And so did you meet Mr. Faisal in person on
- 7 that date?

- A. On the 12th, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Can you tell the Court about your
- 10 interaction with Mr. Faisal on that date?
- 11 A. So part of the interaction is asking the
- 12 applicant, or prospect, different questions, what
- 13 | high school he went to, his background, and stuff
- 14 like that.
- As I sat down in front of the computer so
- 16 he could answer all his medical questions, me and
- other recruiters were talking back and forth, and
- then he was looking at us -- at us as if we were
- 19 like talking about him.
- 20 And then I was like, no, we're not talking
- 21 about you.
- I expressed that to Penday -- Penday about
- 23 the situation, and he said that he had gone to
- 24 the airport with him one time and then he got
- 25 stopped.

- So I guess that's why he was acting that way.
  - Q. And, specifically, did you have an understanding that Mr. Faisal was contemplating joining the Army?
  - A. Yes. It was kind of suddenly, but, yes.
  - Q. Okay. What do you mean by suddenly?
- A. Because Pen -- Penday was actually in the process before, and I had already had asked him if he was interested -- if he knew anybody that was interested in more information about the Army.
  - And at the point of him starting the process he wasn't; but towards the end, because Penday was about to ship on, I think, January 11th, and he told me about Mr. Faisal.
- Q. And Mr. Penday actually did join the Army, correct, and shipped out?
- A. Yes. He actually -- he's about to graduate.

  He should come back for HVAC recruiting towards
- 21 the end of June, I think.
- 22 Q. Did you have a further interaction with
- Mr. Penday on or about December 19th of 2022?
- 24 A. Penday or --

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

25 Q. I'm sorry, Mr. Faisal.

- 1 A. Faisal.
- 2 Q. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Was there a phone call?

- A. More -- more over a text. He was like basically asking -- telling me to make sure the president was okay.
- Q. And did you know what he was talking about?
- A. Not really.

But then I was, like, what's going on? He was supposed to actually test the next day.

I called him my supervisor let him know, Hey, I don't think -- I don't think we're going to test this kid for now; that he's apparently going through some issues and was going to postpone an exam.

- Q. Did you make some general observations about Mr. Faisal and how he appeared to you?
- A. He was going through a hard time, I guess, because I guess his -- his parents were getting a divorce.

I know one time I had to drop him off at a location that apparently was not his house.

He said he didn't want to be at his house at the time because of what was going on.

Q. Did you note that he was a very intelligent

- 1 young man?
- 2 A. Yes.

4

5

8

9

- He actually scored a 48 on the -- on the (indiscernible), which is pretty high, especially for a high school student.
- Sometimes people with bachelors degrees don't get that high.
  - Q. And, sir, in terms of your last communication with Mr. Faisal, was that on or about January 3rd of 2023 via text message?
- 11 A. Yes.
- I think I asked him, Hey, are you coming
  in today and then he was like, no, I got a big
  headache and that was like the last time.
- 15 Q. And that was some time in the afternoon?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And you provided a copy of the text message to your -- to the police department?
- A. Yes, they took pictures of the -- of the messages.
- MS. SPIROS: May I approach?
- 22 THE COURT: You may.
- 23 BY MS. SPIROS:
- Q. Sir, is this a copy of the message that you were just referring to?

A. Yes. 1 2 MS. SPIROS: I'd offer this as the next 3 exhibit. 4 THE COURT: All right. That will be 5 Exhibit 44. 6 (Exhibit No. 44, Text message, was received into evidence.) 7 BY MS. SPIROS: 9 Q. And along that line, sir, were you --10 sometime after January 4th were you interviewed 11 by the Cambridge Police and the State Police 12 about your interactions with Mr. Faisal? 13 A. Yes. 14 MS. SPIROS: I have nothing further for 15 this witness. 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 17 Attorney Anderson. 18 MR. ANDERSON: I have no questions. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 Attorney Kazarosian. 21 MS. KAZAROSIAN: I have no questions, 22 your Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 24 THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you, your 25 Honor.

1 (Witness excused.) 2 MS. SPIROS: Could we have Chuck 3 DiChiara, please. THE COURT OFFICER: Dichiara. 4 MS. SPIROS: DiChiara. 5 6 THE COURT: DiChiara. 7 MR. ANDERSON: Dichiara. 8 MS. SPIROS: Oh, DiChiara. 9 MS. KAZAROS'AN: Could I ask, before he 10 comes in, if he's being called as an expert, am I 11 going to be allowed to ask hypothetical questions 12 about the incident, if he's being called as an 13 expert? 14 THE COURT: I think you can ask him about the -- I'm going to let you ask him about the 15 16 training and the use of force and what that 17 entails. 18 MS. KAZAROSIAN: But as an expert --19 THE COURT: Just bring up --20 MS. KAZAROSIAN: -- I'm not allowed to 21 ask him as to what his opinion may be as far as 22 this incident and how the training may have 23 related or not related to the incident or how

THE COURT: I don't want opinions.

24

25

relevant?

1	I just want what their training was and
2	how they're trained.
3	MS. KAZAROSIAN: That's it?
4	THE COURT: That's it.
5	MS. KAZAROSIAN: Just how they're
6	trained.
7	THE COURT: Just how they're trained.
8	MS. KAZAROSIAN: That's how his
9	limiting okay.
10	THE COURT: Yeah. I don't want them to
11	ask hypothetical opinion because that's not
12	MS. KAZAROSIAN: All training, okay.
13	Right.
14	THE COURT: helpful to me.
15	But what their training is and how
16	they're trained I think is relevant to my
17	determination, okay.
18	MS. KAZAROSIAN: Then that's the
19	limitation?
20	THE COURT: Yeah.
21	MS. KAZAROSIAN: Thanks.
22	THE COURT: And that goes for the DA as
23	well.
24	MS. EVANS: Yes, your Honor. I was
25	actually intending to ask him a question about

1	him not offering opinions, so
2	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
3	THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
4	Do you solemnly swear to tell the truth,
5	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth under
6	the pains and penalties of perjury?
7	MR. DICHIARA: I do.
8	CHARLES DICHIARA, SWORN
9	THE CLERK: Thank you very much you can
10	have a seat.
11	THE COURT: All right. And, Attorney
12	Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Thank you, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Oh, sorry. Officer DiChiara,
15	good morning.
16	THE WITNESS: How are you, sir.
17	THE COURT: Good. Thank you.
18	I just want to let you know, I'm sure you
19	figured out there's a sequestration order in this
20	case.
21	And, unlike any other case, because of
22	the nature of the proceedings, it's a closed
23	hearing.
24	That sequestration order is going to
25	carry over until this matter becomes public, so

I'm just going to ask you not to discuss what you testify here today with anybody until this matter does become public at a future date. Okay?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

## EXAMINATION

10 BY MS. EVANS:

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q. Good morning.

Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record?

14 A. Yes.

If it pleases the Court, my name is Charles, middle initial, M as in Michael, last name DiChiara, D-I, capital C-H-I-A-R-A.

- Q. Where are you employed?
  - A. I'm a police officer by the City of Waltham.
- Q. How long have you been with Waltham Police?
- 21 A. I've been with Waltham Police for, I believe,
- 22 | 26 years.
- 23 Q. And what is your role with Waltham Police?
- 24 A. I am a police officer currently assigned
- 25 to -- as a training officer assigned to the

- 1 training division full-time.
- Q. How long have you been in that role of a
- 3 training officer?
- 4 A. Approximately six years.
- 5 Q. What specific areas do you train police on
- 6 in -- at Waltham?
- 7 A. I train police officers from everything from
- 8 use of force to defensive tactics, firearms
- 9 training, patrol procedures, motor vehicle stops,
- 10 field training.
- 11 Q. And, prior to that assignment, what did you
- 12 do with Waltham Police?
- 13 A. I worked nights in patrol.
- 14 Q. Can you briefly tell the Court about your
- 15 | educational background?
- 16 A. I went through the North Andover school
- 17 | system; graduated in '86.
- Then I went to Salem State College for a
- short amount of time, and then I became a police
- 20 officer.
- 21 I went to the Topsfield Police Academy in
- 22 | 1990, and then I completed my bachelor's degree
- as a police officer over a course of -- it took
- 24 me about ten years, but I finished.
- 25 | Q. Have you ever been with any other departments

- 1 other than Waltham?
- 2 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q. Which department?
- 4 A. I started with North Andover Police
- 5 Department.
- 6 Q. And can you -- have you received any
- 7 | specialized training as to firearms and defensive
- 8 tactics and use of force?
- 9 A. I have.
- Q. Can you describe what type of training you've
- 11 received associated with those?
- 12 A. I received my training at the basic police
- 13 academy, and about 25 years ago I became an
- 14 instructor in firearms and defensive tactics.
- So I originally went to a 15-day or
- 16 two-week defensive tactics class, but over the
- 17 | last 25 years it's been thousands of hours in
- 18 | specialized training in the fields of firearms
- 19 and defensive tactics and use of force.
- 20 Q. Do you have any particular certificates
- 21 associated with those?
- 22 A. I do.
- 23 Q. What are they?
- 24 A. I've been trained certified for the State of
- 25 Massachusetts as a State-certified instructor.

I've also been certified through -- as a federal use of force instructor through the federal law enforcement training center referred to as FLETC.

And I've been to the basic for science institute 40-hour class.

And I've also been to their 19-week Advance Force Science Class.

- Q. In regards to your certification with the State of Massachusetts, are there different levels to that certification?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

- 13 Q. What level are you?
- A. I am -- so I am currently the state

  coordinator for use of force and defensive

  tactics, so there's basically three levels, and

  then the state coordinator.
  - Q. And how many police officers do you estimate you've trained on use of force and defensive tactics in Massachusetts?
- 21 A. I would say well over 10,000.
- Q. Have you trained outside of Massachusetts?
- 23 A. I have.
- 24 0. Where?
- 25 A. I am also with the (indiscernible) training

- 1 group, so I've trained police officers in
- 2 probably -- probably 15 different states; and
- 3 I've trained internationally officers from
- 4 Columbia, Canada, Switzerland, and England.
- 5 Q. And, in addition to being a Waltham officer,
- 6 do you have any other special assignments that
- 7 you do?
- 8 A. I was assigned to the SWAT team for 30 years,
- 9 and I just retired.
- 10 Q. What were your duties and responsibilities
- 11 generally when you were on the SWAT team?
- 12 A. I was generally assigned to the entry team
- and one of the Cadre instructors.
- 14 | Q. And now you stated that you are now the state
- 15 | coordinator; is that correct?
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 17 Q. For defensive tactics and use of force?
- 18 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 19 Q. How long have you had that role?
- 20 A. I believe I got that role in 2020.
- 21 Q. And are you an instructor at any police
- 22 academies as well?
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 24 O. Which ones?
- 25 A. I teach at Randolph Police Academy, the

- 1 Lowell Police Academy, the Northern Essex
- 2 | Community College Police Academy, and the
- 3 Merrimack College Police Academy.
- 4 And also I help out at Fitchburg State
- 5 University.
- 6 Q. And now are you on any additional committees
- 7 or anything as well?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 0. Which ones?
- 10 A. I serve on the executive committee for
- 11 defensive tactics and a separate one for
- 12 firearms.
- 13 | Q. Are those Massachusetts or national
- 14 | committees?
- 15 A. Those two are Massachusetts.
- 16 Q. And in preparation for being asked to testify
- 17 here today, you weren't provided with any
- 18 | materials or anything to review; is that correct?
- 19 A. No, ma'am, not at all.
- 20 Q. And you're not asked to offer an opinion; is
- 21 that correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Have you previously testified in courts in
- 24 the Commonwealth?
- 25 A. I have.

- Q. Does that testimony include on -- use of
- 2 force or defensive tactic policies?
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q. And how is the term "use of force" defined as
- 5 | a training instructor on the topic?
- 6 A. We use -- force is defined as the amount of
- 7 effort required by police to compel compliance
- 8 from an unwilling individual.
- 9 Q. And how would you define as a trainer "deadly
- 10 force"?
- 11 A. Deadly force we would define as physical
- 12 force intended to or likely to cause death or
- 13 serious bodily injury.
- 14 Q. And from your training and training others,
- 15 | what generally dictates the amount of force an
- 16 officer is authorized to use in a given
- 17 | situation?
- 18 A. We use -- we use a series of standards and
- 19 | quidelines, but we use -- the standard analysis
- 20 is use of force must be objectively reasonable
- 21 based on the totality of the circumstances.
- 22 \ Q. And when you say a set of standards and
- 23 guidelines, are those different things?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 \ Q. What in particular are you referencing when

1 you say that?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

A. When we say "standards," we mean standards that the law has to offer, which is we look at the case law in Massachusetts and the state of analysis.

We use certain cases to talk about what level of force we should be using.

And then guidelines, for guidelines we use a -- we use a use-of-force continuum or we would call it the use-of-force model.

And other guidelines would be the police department's own individual policies and procedures.

- Q. Is there also a policy from the municipal police training committee that you would utilize in those trainings as well on use of force?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. And just for the -- and did you provide those materials to the Commonwealth?
- 20 A. I did.
  - MS. EVANS: Your Honor, just for the Court's reference, the use of force standard is in Discovery Notice 3 as well as the models.
- THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 25 BY MS. EVANS:

- Q. So you testified that you use particular case
- 2 law that you rely upon in this -- in these type
- of situations in training; is that correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- Q. What are some of that case law from your
- 6 training?
- 7 A. So the case law we use is just -- even though
- 8 it's a civil case, for the state of analysis, we
- 9 use the Graham vs. Connor case just to -- because
- 10 a lot of the policies and industry standards
- 11 revolve around use of force being objectively
- 12 reasonable, and then we have the case law in
- 13 Massachusetts as to when a police officer can use
- 14 force.
- 15 Q. And now is the standard objectively
- 16 reasonable generally the proposition that that
- 17 | case law stands for?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And now are these standards different between
- 20 the federal and state in other jurisdictions in
- 21 your experience?
- 22 A. It's pretty consistent across the country
- 23 that that's the standard of analysis.
- Q. And as part of the training you do, do you
- 25 teach police officers the law as well as the

- 1 standards and guidelines?
- 2 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q. Do you use specific teaching tools or
- 4 | teaching methods?
- 5 A. Yes, we do.
- 6 Q. Would one of those methods be the
- 7 | use-of-force model?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am, correct.
- 9 Q. And what are the general categories of the
- 10 use-of-force model?
- 11 A. So there's generally three categories. We
- 12 | would refer to it as a totality triangle, but the
- 13 totality triangle also falls in line with the
- 14 use-of-force model.
- 15 So there's generally three areas.
- 16 Perceived circumstances would be one.
- 17 The subject's actions would be the second.
- 18 And then reasonable officer's response
- 19 would be the third.
- 20 So it sets up like a triangle, but there's
- 21 really five levels.
- 22 MS. EVANS: Your Honor, may I approach?
- THE COURT: You may.
- 24 A. Thank you.
- 25 Q. Do you recognize that?

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am, I do.
- 2 Q. Is that the model we were talking about?
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- 4 Q. Does that denote the three different
- 5 categories that you just mentioned?
- 6 A. Yes, it does.
- 7 MS. EVANS: Your Honor, the Commonwealth
- 8 | would offer this as the next exhibit.
- 9 THE COURT: That's 45.
- 10 (Exhibit No. 45, Categories of
- 11 use-of-force model, was received into evidence.)
- MS. EVANS: Your Honor, may I publish it?
- 13 THE COURT: You may.
- 14 BY MS. EVANS:
- 15 Q. Can you see this?
- 16 A. Luckily I know it because I can't see it
- 17 | well, but I think I'm okay.
- 18 THE COURT: Officer, if you need to you
- 19 | can step down.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay. Thank you,
- 21 sir.
- 22 BY MS. EVANS:
- 23 O. And with this use-of-force model, are there
- 24 different threat or risk perception categories as
- 25 | well?

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 2 Q. Where are they sort of denoted on the -- how
- are they denoted on the particular model?
- 4 A. In the use-of-force model, it would be that
- 5 middle category.
- 6 Q. And what are those different categories?
- 7 A. The five categories are strategic, tactical,
- 8 volatile, harmful, and lethal.
- 9 Q. How would you move through them in your
- 10 | analysis; in your training?
- 11 A. So that is basically teaching an officer to
- 12 respond risk and that is -- that is everything
- 13 | from the call for service, what information
- 14 you're being given, what kind of area is a
- 15 high-crime area, have you been there before, what
- 16 information you have coming in, how many officers
- 17 | are available.
- So that's when we start our analysis is
- 19 right in the very beginning.
- 20 0. And now can you describe in training the
- 21 officers how you would utilize the risk
- 22 | perception categories as well as the subject's
- 23 actions categories related to the officers
- 24 response?
- 25 A. Well, to teach an officer to -- to use the

appropriate amount of force, we teach them to respond to -- to get that -- to make a good decision, it's based on they should respond to the risk; also with what the subject is doing.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it's a -- the decision-making process is to respond to the risk assessment as well as what the subject is doing and that will help you make a decision on what level of force you should use.

- Q. Is it fair to say you're trying to make a balanced response?
  - A. Yes, ma'am, balance or proportionate.
- Q. And where do you begin in determining that level of response?
- A. Right in the very beginning when you get sent that call and get the information.
- Q. What are the different responses that can occur under this model?
  - A. So strategic is beginning; that's at the lowest level in the blue there.

And strategic just refers to baseline perception of your occupation-accepted risk.

So when you come into work it's about situation awareness and being professional and kind of having your head in the game.

So it's more or less -- strategic is more or less the mental part of being a police officer and coming into work.

- Q. What is the second level?
- A. Tactical -- tactical on the green is when we have an increase in risk.

So now we have -- we get sent on a call or you stop the motor vehicle and now there's -- now the risk has increased and so then we start to teach the officer to assess and think tactically at that level.

- Q. And what is the third level?
- A. The third level in the yellow is volatile, and that's when we kind of start getting into the hands on.

And "volatile" essentially means it's got the potential, like chemicals, it's got the potential to erupt.

It could escalate in scope and intensity. It could deescalate. It might stay the same.

But the officer is taught and trained to recognize that a volatile situation has a potential to erupt.

Q. And now, with the volatile situation, it also notes to the reasonable officer's response the

term compliance techniques.

What are "compliance techniques"?

A. Compliance techniques are meant to gain compliance, sometimes they're referred to pain compliance techniques.

But we have a -- when you get into pain compliance techniques there's a whole list of techniques we could use.

We could use (indiscernible) joint manipulations, takedowns, pepper spray, using the baton as a controlling device, using the TASER at the (indiscernible) level, or any kind of pressure points or distraction techniques.

- Q. Are those only hands-on techniques?
- A. Primarily hands-on techniques, yes, ma'am.
- Q. What is the fourth level of the model?
- A. The fourth level is harmful on the yellow, and that just means it's escalated to the point where the officer should focus on self-defense or defense of another because instead of having the potential to go bad, now it's essentially gone
- 22 bad.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And now Level 4 involves defensive techniques?
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. What are -- what is meant by "defensive techniques"?
  - A. Defensive techniques are tactics; we refer to as impact techniques, so either personal weapons or intermediate weapons.

Your personal weapons would be empty hand strikes, kicks, knee strikes, head butts, basically hand-to-hand combatives.

And your intermediate techniques would be either a TASER, a probe deployment, or using your baton or maybe a 40mm 12-gauge less-lethal munition.

- Q. And what is the Level 5?
  - A. Level 5 is considered deadly force.
  - Q. And in regards to firearms as a trained patrol officer and in the training, does it include when they discharge a firearm where to aim with deadly force?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 20 Q. Can you describe sort of what that training 21 is that you receive?
- A. So all police officers are taught only to shoot to stop, not to shoot to kill, and they're taught to shoot at center mass.

25 And what that refers to is center mass of

available target. So if we're -- if I'm standing straight up it might be the chest area.

If I'm behind the object, it might be a different area.

So "center mass" means center mass of the available target.

- Q. And why is it always center mass?
- A. It's really for two reasons.

First of all, we're -- we're only trained to use deadly force when we need to because it poses significant risk to the officer or others.

So the first thing is you want to do is be accurate to stop that deadly threat.

And the second thing is we also have to be accurate with the rounds because we're responsible for any rounds that come out of the firearm, so if -- if we miss then that -- that bullet could hurt somebody in the public, so that's why we shoot center mass so that we can -- it's mostly for accuracy so that we don't create a substantial risk to the public as well.

- Q. Are police officers trained on how many shots to discharge when they discharge their firearm?
- A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Does Massachusetts train on the use-of-force

- 1 continuum?
- 2 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q. What about other states in your experience?
- 4 A. At one time almost all states had a
- 5 use-of-force continuum. Some states got rid of
- 6 it and mostly teach case law. Massachusetts
- 7 teaches both now.
- 8 Q. And in -- you also mentioned the totality
- 9 triangle?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Is that a different model associated with the
- 12 use of force?
- 13 A. It's not a different model. It's just a
- 14 | different way of -- it's another teaching tool,
- 15 it's a way of visually understanding of how we
- 16 use force.
- So instead of using the five -- if I took
- 18 | a triangle and put it in those five stairs, it
- 19 | would still setup lying a triangle, but it's just
- 20 another way of analyzing the three sides of the
- 21 | triangle, which is we have to analyze risk and
- 22 what the subject is doing to help us make a
- 23 decision on what's -- what's a level of force
- 24 that's reasonable.
- 25 \ Q. What are the three considerations of the

1 totality triangle?

4

- Perceived circumstances, perceived subject's 2 Α. 3 actions, and then reasonable officer's response.
  - Can you describe each of those categories?
- 5 So perceived circumstances is if we put -- if Α. we use it with the use-of-force model, perceived 7 circumstances is your threat perception or your risk perception categories, which is what we just 8 9 talked about -- strategic, tactic, volatile,
- 10 harmful, and lethal.
- 11 And what about perceived subject actions, how 0. 12 is that described?
- Subject's actions is the same -- subject's 13 actions is also five levels.
- 15 And the five levels would be compliant, 16 passive resistant, active resistant, assault of 17 bodily harm, and assault of serious bodily harm 18 or death. Five levels as well.
- 19 Q. And are the reasonable officer responses also 20 five levels?
- 21 Yes, ma'am, correct. Α.
- 22 Q. In correlation to the use-of-force model that 23 we just talked about is it the same?
- 24 That's correct, yes. Α.
- 25 Do you train as a trainer for the State of Q.

- 1 Massachusetts on lethal and nonlethal cover?
- 2 A. Yes, I do.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

- 3 Q. What is "nonlethal cover"?
  - A. Nonlethal, or less-lethal, is -- is force mitigation and is basically using less-lethal options, which is less-lethal munitions.

So those would be considered basically like a baton strike, but from a distance, so it's extended baton strike is in -- essentially.

So we teach to use less-lethal and nonlethal force if possible for force mitigation under the -- under the guidelines of priority of life.

- Q. And now if you are employing less-lethal cover are you also employing lethal cover?
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 17 Q. How is that?
  - A. We always have a -- we always have a less-lethal -- if an officer has a less-lethal tool in his hand, he's always going to be covered by an officer with lethal force as well because less-lethal force doesn't always work.

It depends on where you hit the person.

It depends on clothing.

It depends on distance.

1 It depends on the person's mindset. So we always have lethal cover so that 2 there's -- there's an officer there to cover the 3 officer in case it goes bad. 5 Q. And do you train on the use of pepper spray? 6 Yes, ma'am. Α. 7 And, from your training, is pepper spray an 8 effective method of quelling deadly force? 9 Generally -- generally, no. 10 Pepper spray -- pepper spray is a 11 distraction technique. It works; it's effective, but we use it in 12 13 much lower levels of force because, to use pepper 14 spray, you have to get close. It's essentially 4 to 6 feet away. So you 15 16 have to be really close to use the pepper spray and my training and experience with pepper spray, 17 18 it doesn't work right away. 19 It doesn't cause immediate conclusive 20 control. It sometimes takes 30 seconds, a 21 minute, and people can fight through it. 22 So you have to get really close to hit

You can use it, but it would depend on the

somebody with pepper spray, so we try to avoid

23

24

25

it.

- 1 totality of the circumstances.
  - Q. Do you also train on the use of TASERs?
    - A. Yes, ma'am.

3

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. What level of force would the use of TASER be
- 5 appropriate when you're training officers?
- A. So TASER is tricky. It actually falls under two categories.
- So the fist one is called a drive stunt.

  It falls in at Level 3 as a pain compliance

  technique.
  - And on that level you're strictly -- it's like a -- we call it a touch stun. You're basically pressing the TASER against the person.
  - The pain is localized, but it just causes strictly a distraction or pain compliance.

    That's the first level.
    - But then we also fire it with two probes out of it at Level 4 which is -- which is a longer distance and that's a different level of force because you're -- you're firing two probes that impact, and then it causes neuromuscular incapacitation. It causes falls.
  - It's a high level of force. So we can only use it when the person is assaultive or combative to stop their behavior.

- Q. Are you familiar with the less-lethal shotgun?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

- Q. Do you personally train officers on that in the academy and such?
- A. We don't -- most -- in the curriculum -
  curriculum when the officer gets back to the own

  individual agency because there's a bunch of

  different less-lethal options we don't handle it

  at the academy level, but police departments

  across the state all do their own less-lethal

  training.
  - Q. So do you do so at Waltham in your capacity?
  - A. I do, yes, ma'am.
    - Q. And what level of force are we talking about when we're talking about a less-lethal shotgun?

      A. So we put -- less-lethal falls kind of in
- 18 between baton and firearm.
  - So I describe it as high Level 4 into

    Level 5. So if a -- it's Level 4 and escalating.

So you're basically, because you can't control a less-lethal munition as easily as you can a baton strike, we have them -- they have to minimum be assaultive and combative all the way up to deadly force.

- 1 Q. And in talking about a deadly force situation
- 2 on the model, is it fair to say when involving
- 3 that situation a less-lethal option would be a
- 4 backup to a lethal option?
- 5 A. It could be a primary. It could be a backup.
- 6 Q. Are you familiar with the 21-foot rule?
- 7 A. I am.

- 8 Q. Is it -- what is it generally?
- 9 A. So it gets explained as a 21-foot rule, but
- 10 it's not -- it's not a rule.

established case law.

- It doesn't come from any clearly
- 13 It, actually, was a test that they ran in
- 14 | the academy; but it really is just an explanation
- of time versus distance, how long it would take a
- 16 person to cover certain amount of distance and
- 17 the time it would take an officer to respond to
- the threats, so it's more or less a test of
- timing and human factors versus an actual 21-feet
- 20 | clearcut.
- Q. So is it fair to say you'd treat it more as a
- 22 guideline than a rule?
- 23 A. Yes. Absolutely.
- Q. Is it something that you were previously
- 25 trained on?

- 1 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Is it something -- from your experience of
- 3 still being -- training police, is it something
- 4 that is still taught?
- 5 A. We -- we talk about it and teach it only
- 6 because it -- it was probably taught wrong years
- 7 ago, and we kind of try to find -- we try to do
- 8 it better now. So we kind of debunk it.
- So we explained the 21-foot rule because
- 10 everybody's heard of it.
- So we just explain the use of force still
- 12 has to be reasonable. It still has to be lawful.
- Outside of 21 feet does not make it
- 14 | illegal, and inside of 21 feet doesn't
- 15 | necessarily make it -- make it reasonable.
- So we try to just use it as -- to kind of
- 17 debunk it and use it as a term of basic the test
- was a normal person could cover 1.5 seconds, 21
- 19 feet in the time it would take an officer to
- 20 respond.
- 21 Q. And now with this guideline and your
- 22 experience is, it fair to say that the totality
- 23 of circumstances analysis still needs to be
- 24 employed as well?
- 25 A. Yes, every time.

- 1 Q. Why do you say that?
  - A. Because there is no two use of force situations that have the same factors.

Every -- every use of force has a unique set of facts and circumstances right from the call that you originally get.

So we have to always be gauging it based on the totality of those particular circumstances and that event.

MS. EVANS: One moment, your Honor.

BY MS. EVANS:

- Q. If there is the presence of a weapon in a particular circumstance, does that impact what category of force we're talking about in terms of the use-of-force model?
- A. Yes, ma'am.

If a person is armed, obviously, they present a much higher risk factor, high level of (indiscernible) risk.

- Q. And when you say "much higher," what do you mean by that?
- A. If -- if somebody is armed and has the potential to cause an officer or the public injury or serious injury, then they're at deadly force level.

1 MS. EVANS: Nothing further, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 3 Attorney Anderson. 4 EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON: 5 Good afternoon, Officer DiChiara. 6 Q. 7 As you know, I'm Ken Anderson, 8 representing Office McMahon in this matter? 9 Just kind of going back through your --10 your training career, when you first were instructed and -- and became a trainer, was that 11 12 specifically to train in your own department? 13 Initially, yes, sir. Α. 14 And then is there a certain level of training 0. 15 you get to become -- to get to train at an 16 academy? 17 Yes, sir. Α. And did you go through that training? 18 Q. 19 I did. Α. 20 And then is there a level of training that Q. 21 you get to train the instructors that train at 22 the academy? 23 Yes, sir. Correct. Α.

And what is the name for someone who can

train the instructors at the academy?

24

25

Q.

- 1 A. So at the academy it's a -- it's a lead
- 2 instructor and to train other instructors it's an
- 3 instructor trainer.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. Essentially three levels.
- Q. So we -- we heard from Officer Deane earlier,
- 7 | who told us he was an instructor trainer.
- 8 So he's someone who's qualified to train
- 9 the instructors who then train the people at the
- 10 academy?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And then beyond being an instructor trainer,
- did there use to be a higher category than that?
- 14 A. After instructor trainer is just the State
- 15 coordinator.
- 16 Q. Okay. And that's your position now?
- 17 A. Correct, sir.
- 18 Q. And for how long have you been teaching at
- 19 | the Lowell Police Academy?
- 20 A. Probably -- probably 25 years.
- 21 Q. And if I told you Officer Liam McMahon
- 22 graduated from that academy in 2015, he would
- 23 have been a student of yours?
- 24 A. I believe so, yes, sir.
- 25 Q. And do you recall Officer McMahon at all?

- 1 A. I don't.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. But I've had a lot of officers.
- 4 Q. And you don't socialize with him; you're not
- 5 friends with him?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Now, you've been called by the Middlesex
- 8 | County District Attorney's Office to testify in
- 9 other inquests, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And how many inquests have you testified in?
- 12 A. I believe five or six now.
- 13 Q. And you and I, we had one in Winchester,
- 14 Newton, and then Burlington?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And you also testified in one from Lexington?
- 17 A. Correct, sir.
- 18 | Q. And you testified in one in Reading as well?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And you testified in that trial?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Have you also been retained by other entities
- 23 to testify as an expert witness?
- 24 A. I have.
- 25 Q. And what courts have you testified to as an

- 1 | expert witness?
- 2 A. I've -- I've testified mostly throughout the
- 3 whole state.
- In Superior Court I've testified in, I
- 5 believe, Salem Superior and Worcester Superior
- 6 | Court, and I've testified in U.S. District Court
- 7 in Boston as well.
- 8 Q. Now, you were asked some questions about use
- 9 of TASERs and the context that you would use a
- 10 TASER in.
- Do you know if the Cambridge Police
- Department, if the patrol officers carry TASERs?
- 13 A. I don't -- I believe they're one of the
- 14 departments that does not carry TASERs.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- MR. ANDERSON: And if I could just state
- 17 for the record, Judge, Cambridge does not have --
- THE COURT: Yeah.
- MR. ANDERSON: -- TASERs.
- 20 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 21 Q. Now, the training that you give at the
- 22 academy, it's -- it's based upon objective
- 23 reasonableness?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. And that comes from a Superior Court case of

Graham vs. Connor? 1 2 A. Correct. Q. And if I were to read to you the Cambridge 3 Use of Force Policy, 400.1, Use of Force Roman 4 5 Numeral V? MS. KAZAROSIAN: Can I object, your 6 7 Honor? I thought we were sticking to just --8 THE COURT: Yeah, I think -- I think he 9 can ask that a different way. 10 Is the Cambridge -- let me ask it the way 11 I want to have it asked. 12 13 MR. ANDERSON: Could I just put the 14 policy in front of him so he can... THE COURT: Oh, sure. Sure. All right. 15 Is the -- do you know if the Cambridge 16 17 Police Department's Use of Force Policy is consistent with the Use of Force Policy 18 throughout the rest of Massachusetts and what you 19 teach at the academy? 20 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, it is. 22 THE COURT: All right. 23 MR. ANDERSON: Judge, if I can just --24 just follow up on that.

THE COURT: All right.

- 1 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- Q. Section 5 here says, Officers may use deadly
- 3 force to, A, protect the officer, others from
- 4 what is reasonably believed to be a threat of
- 5 death or serious bodily injury.
- Is that the -- "reasonably believed," is
- 7 that the objective reasonableness from Graham vs.
- 8 | Connor?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Is that where that comes from?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And that's consistent throughout the
- 13 | Commonwealth of Massachusetts?
- 14 A. It is.
- 15 Q. And the 15 states that you've also trained
- 16 in, is that consistent in those states as well?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Now, are you familiar with a term called the
- 19 | "reactionary gap"?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And what is a reactionary gap?
- 22 A. "Reactionary gap" is the bare minimum
- 23 distance that we teach to at least be 4 to 6 feet
- 24 away from a subject so that you have time to
- 25 respond to a threat.

- Q. And, in the academy, if we can go back to the
- 2 | 2015 timeframe, is that something that was
- 3 instructed to recruits back in 2015?
- 4 A. Yes. Correct.
- 5 Q. And when in the academy process would you
- 6 begin talking about the reactionary gap?
- 7 A. Initially, before we get into any of the
- 8 hands on defensive tactics, everybody gets an
- 9 eight hour block of use of force.
- 10 So right off the bat with use of force
- 11 when we teach the model we start to teach about
- 12 preattack indicators, and response, and
- 13 | reactionary gap, so almost -- almost right in the
- 14 very beginning of defensive tactics and use of
- 15 force.
- 16 Q. And then after you introduce that when you
- 17 begin talking about defensive tactics and use of
- 18 force, is that reinstilled during academy
- 19 training?
- 20 A. It is.
- 21 Q. And how firmly is that drilled into officers?
- 22 A. Pretty firmly.
- 23 When they get into their scenario-based
- 24 training we try to show them, you know, you have
- your PowerPoint presentation then we try to show

- them in realistic drills based on -- on distance as well.
  - Q. So the reactionary gap they're told to stay
    4 to 6 feet from people just in case there's a
- 5 reaction by that person so they have room to
- 6 retreat?

- 7 A. Correct.
- Q. And are officers trained to backup and create
- 9 space if there's someone coming towards them?
- 10 A. Yes, sir, if they can.
- 11 Q. And the 21-foot rule that's not a rule,
- 12 that's a principle that you instruct people in to
- 13 be mindful that within that distance that someone
- 14 with an edged weapon can get up and get upon you
- and harm you before you've had the ability to
- 16 perceive, draw your firearm and respond?
- 17 A. That's where it comes from, yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Now, are you familiar with something known as
- 19 suicide by cop or police-assisted suicide?
- 20 THE COURT: I think I have enough of this
- 21 from Officer Deane, so I -- I don't think we have
- 22 to go through this again with Officer DiChiara,
- 23 okay.
- 24 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 25 Q. Just is that something you would have trained

- in the academy back in 2015?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. If I could just...

Now you were asked about how officers are trained to use deadly force.

You mentioned the shoot to stop?

7 A. Correct.

6

20

21

22

23

- Q. And there's not a certain number of bullets that someone are told to shoot?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you don't shoot once and then reassess,
  and shoot a second time, a few seconds later, and
  then a third one if --
- then a third one if --
- A. You're -- you're assessing, but you're assessing as you're trying to stop a threat.

So you're not -- you're not -- you don't fire one round and then stop.

You're trying to -- you stop when the threat goes away so...

- Q. Okay. And officers are trained to shoot for center mass; they're not trained to shoot a knife out of someone's hand or to shoot them in the leg, correct?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- MR. ANDERSON: I have nothing else, your